arXiv:1603.09189v1 [math.AP] 30 Mar 2016

A variational reduction and the existence of a fully-localised solitary wave for the three-dimensional water-wave problem with weak surface tension

B. Buffoni* M. D. Groves† E. Wahlén‡

Abstract

Fully localised solitary waves are travelling-wave solutions of the three-dimensional gravity-capillary water wave problem which decay to zero in every horizontal spatial direction. Their existence has been predicted on the basis of numerical simulations and model equations (in which context they are usually referred to as 'lumps'), and a mathematically rigorous existence theory for strong surface tension (Bond number β greater than $\frac{1}{3}$) has recently been given. In this article we present an existence theory for the physically more realistic case $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$. A classical variational principle for fully localised solitary waves is reduced to a locally equivalent variational principle featuring a perturbation of the functional associated with the Davey-Stewartson equation. A nontrivial critical point of the reduced functional is found by minimising it over its natural constraint set.

1 Introduction

1.1 The hydrodynamic problem

The classical *three-dimensional gravity-capillary water wave problem* concerns the irrotational flow of a perfect fluid of unit density subject to the forces of gravity and surface tension. The fluid motion is described by the Euler equations in a domain bounded below by a rigid horizontal bottom $\{y = 0\}$ and above by a free surface $\{y = 1 + \eta(x, z, t)\}$, where the function η depends upon the two horizontal spatial directions x, z and time t. In terms of an Eulerian velocity potential φ, the mathematical problem is to solve Laplace's equation

$$\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy} + \varphi_{zz} = 0, \qquad 0 < y < 1 + \eta, \tag{1.1}$$

*Section de mathématiques, Station 8, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

†Fachrichtung 6.1 - Mathematik, Universität des Saarlandes, Postfach 151150, 66041 Saarbrücken, Germany; Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK

‡Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, PO Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_y &= 0, && y = 0, && (1.2)\\
\eta_t &= \varphi_y - \eta_x\varphi_x - \eta_z\varphi_z, && y = 1+\eta, && (1.3)\\
\varphi_t &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_x^2 + \varphi_y^2 + \varphi_z^2) - \eta \\
&\qquad + \beta\left[\frac{\eta_x}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}}\right]_x + \beta\left[\frac{\eta_z}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}}\right]_z, && y = 1+\eta. && (1.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we use dimensionless variables, choosing h as length scale, $(h/g)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as time scale and introducing the Bond number $\beta = \sigma/gh^2$, where h is the depth of the water in its undisturbed state, g is the acceleration due to gravity and σ is the coefficient of surface tension, which we always take to be positive. In this article we consider *fully localised solitary waves*, that is travelling-wave solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) of the form $\eta(x,z,t) = \eta(x+ct,z)$, $\varphi(x,y,z,t) = \varphi(x+ct,y,z)$ (so that the waves move with unchanging shape and constant speed c from right to left) with $\eta(x+ct,z) \to 0$ as $|(x+ct,z)| \to \infty$ (so that the waves decay in every horizontal direction).

The existence of fully localised solitary waves has been predicted on the basis of model equations, in particular the KP-I equation for $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$ ('strong surface tension' – see Kadomtsev & Petviashvili [12] and Ablowitz & Segur [1]) and the Davey-Stewartson equation for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$ ('weak surface tension' – see Djordjevic & Redekopp [8], Ablowitz & Segur [1] and Cipolatti [5]). Mathematically rigorous existence theories for fully localised solitary waves with $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$ were given by Groves & Sun [10] and Buffoni *et al.* [4], while Parau, Vanden-Broeck & Cooker [16] have presented numerical computations of such waves with $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$ (see Figure 1 for a sketch of a typical free surface). In this paper we rigorously prove the existence of fully localised solitary wave solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) with $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$.



Figure 1: Sketch of a fully localised solitary wave with weak surface tension; the arrow shows the direction of wave propagation.

1.2 A variational principle

Fully localised solitary waves are characterised as critical points of the wave energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta,\varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{1+\eta}(\varphi_x^2+\varphi_y^2+\varphi_z^2)\,\mathrm{d}y+\frac{1}{2}\eta^2+\beta[\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}-1]\right\}\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

subject to the constraint that the momentum

$$\mathcal{I}(\eta,\varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\eta_x\varphi|_{y=1+\eta}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

in the x-direction is fixed (both are conserved quantities of (1.1)–(1.4) – see Benjamin & Olver [3]); the wave speed c is the Lagrange multiplier in the variational principle $\delta(\mathcal{E}-c\mathcal{I})=0$. More satisfactory representations of these functionals are obtained by means of the Dirichlet-Neumann operator $G(\eta)$ introduced by Craig [6] and defined as follows. For fixed $\Phi=\Phi(x,z)$ solve the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_{xx}+\varphi_{yy}+\varphi_{zz}=0, && 0<y<1+\eta,\\ &\varphi=\Phi, && y=1+\eta,\\ &\varphi_y=0, && y=0\end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned}G(\eta)\Phi &= \sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{y=1+\eta}\\ &= \varphi_y-\eta_x\varphi_x-\eta_z\varphi_z\Big|_{y=1+\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

Working with the variables $\eta=\eta(x,z)$ and $\Phi=\Phi(x,z)$, one finds that

$$\mathcal{E}(\eta,\Phi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\Phi G(\eta)\Phi+\frac{1}{2}\eta^2+\beta[\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}-1]\right\}\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\eta,\Phi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\eta_x\Phi\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Let us now identify suitable function spaces in which to study these functionals. We take η in a suitably chosen open neighbourhood U of the origin in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see Section 2.1 for a precise definition) and Φ in the completion $H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of $SS(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2)}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(1+|k|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|k|^2|\hat{\eta}|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from the following result (see Buffoni *et al.* [4, Lemma 2.17]) that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are smooth functionals $U\times H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2)\to\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1.1. *The mapping $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)\to\mathcal{L}(H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2),(H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2))')$ given by $\eta\mapsto(\Phi\mapsto G(\eta)\Phi)$ is analytic at the origin and $\Phi\to G(\eta)\Phi$ is an isomorphism $H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2)\to(H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2))'$ for each η in a neighbourhood of the origin.*

We find nontrivial critical points of $\mathcal{E} - c\mathcal{I}$ in two steps. (i) For given $\eta \neq 0$, we observe that $\mathcal{E}(\eta,\cdot) - c\mathcal{I}(\eta,\cdot)$ has a unique critical point Φ_η which is the unique global minimiser Φ_η of $\mathcal{E}(\eta,\cdot) - c\mathcal{I}(\eta,\cdot)$ over $H^{1/2}_\star(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and satisfies $G(\eta)\Phi_\eta = c\eta_x$. (ii) We seek critical nontrivial critical points of the functional $\mathcal{J} : U \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}(\eta) &:= \mathcal{E}(\eta,\Phi_\eta) - c\mathcal{I}(\eta,\Phi_\eta)\\ &= \mathcal{E}(\eta, cG(\eta)^{-1}\eta_x) - c\mathcal{I}(\eta, cG(\eta)^{-1}\eta_x)\\ &= \mathcal{K}(\eta) - c^2\mathcal{L}(\eta)\end{aligned}$$

over $\eta \in U \setminus \{0\}$, where

$$\mathcal{K}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \beta\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2} - \beta\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\eta\,K(\eta)\eta\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

and $K(\eta) = -\partial_x G(\eta)^{-1}\partial_x$; the following proposition (see Buffoni *et al.* [4, Theorem 2.19]) ensures that $\mathcal{J}$ is a smooth functional $U \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1.2. *The mapping $K : H^3(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{L}(H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ given by the formula $K(\eta) = -\partial_x G(\eta)^{-1}\partial_x$ is analytic at the origin.*

In this paper we seek critical points of the functional $\mathcal{E} - c\mathcal{I}$ (for fixed $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$ and a judicious choice of c) by performing a rigorous local variational reduction to a perturbation of the Davey-Stewartson variational functional and finding critical points of this reduced functional (more specifically, as minimisers under a natural constraint).

1.3 Variational reduction

It is instructive to review the formal derivation of the Davey-Stewartson equations for travelling waves. We begin with the linear dispersion relation for a two-dimensional sinusoidal travelling wave train with wave number s and speed c, namely

$$c^2 = \frac{1+\beta s^2}{f(s)}, \qquad f(s) = s\coth s.$$

For each fixed $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$ the function $s \mapsto c(s)$, $s \geq 0$ has a unique global minimum at $s = \omega > 0$; we denote the minimum value of c^2 by Λ and observe for later use that

$$g(s) := 1 + \beta s^2 - \Lambda f(s) \geq 0, \qquad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.5}$$

with equality precisely when $s = \pm\omega$. Bifurcations of nonlinear solitary waves are are expected whenever the linear group and phase speeds are equal, so that $c'(s) = 0$ (see Dias & Kharif [7, §3]). We therefore expect the existence of small-amplitude solitary waves with speed near $\Lambda^{1/2}$; the waves bifurcate from a linear periodic wave train with wavenumber ω.

Making the travelling-wave *Ansatz* $\eta(x,z,t) = \tilde\eta(x+ct,z)$ and substituting

$$c = \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda}, \qquad \tilde\eta(x,z) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\zeta(\varepsilon x,\varepsilon z)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega x} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\overline{\zeta(\varepsilon x,\varepsilon z)}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega x}$$

into equations (1.1)–(1.4), one finds that to leading order ζ satisfies the Davey-Stewartson equation

$$-a_1\zeta_{XX} - a_2\zeta_{ZZ} + a_3\zeta - 2C_1\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}\mathcal{F}[|\zeta|^2]\right]\zeta - 2C_2|\zeta|^2\zeta = 0,$$

where $X = \varepsilon x$, $Z = \varepsilon z$,

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{8}\partial_{k_1}^2\tilde{g}(\omega,0), \qquad a_2 = \frac{1}{8}\partial_{k_2}^2\tilde{g}(\omega,0), \qquad a_3 = \frac{1}{4}\Lambda f(\omega),$$

$$\tilde{g}(k) = g(|k|) + \Lambda\frac{k_2^2}{|k|^2}f(|k|)$$

and formulae for the positive coefficients C_1, C_2 are given in Theorem 2.25 (see Djordjevic & Redekopp [8] and Ablowitz & Segur [1], noting the misprint in equation (2.24d)). The Davey-Stewartson equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional $\mathcal{T}_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_0(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(a_1|\zeta_x|^2 + a_2|\zeta_z|^2 + a_3|\zeta|^2\right)\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\ - C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\zeta|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 - C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\zeta|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denote the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms and we have replaced (X, Z) with (x, z). It was shown by Cipolatti [5] that this functional has a nontrivial critical point (corresponding to a fully localised solitary-wave solution of the Davey-Stewartson equation, often called a 'lump' solution; see also Papanicolaou *et al.* [15, §5] for an alternative variational existence theory).

In Section 2 we develop a rigorous version of the Davey-Stewartson reduction. Motivated by the formal theory, we decompose $\mathcal{X} = H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into the direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{X}_1 = \chi(D)\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_2 = (1-\chi(D))\mathcal{X}$, where χ is the sum of the characteristic functions χ^+, χ^- of respectively $B_\delta(\omega,0)$, $B_\delta(-\omega,0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\chi(D)$ denotes the Fourier-multiplier operator with symbol χ. Observe that $\eta \in U$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$, that is

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta](v) = 0$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{X}$, if and only if

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1+\eta_2](v_1) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1+\eta_2](v_2) = 0,$$

for all $v_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$ and $v_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$. (Here we write $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ to stress the dependence of this functional upon ε through the relation $c = \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda}$.) The second of these equations can be solved for η_2 as a function of η_1, and we thus obtain the reduced functional $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon : X_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)),$$

where $X_1 = \{\eta_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \colon |||\eta_1||| \leq R_1\}$, R_1 can be chosen arbitrarily large and we have equipped $\mathcal{X}_1$ with the scaled norm

$$|||\eta||| := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(1+\varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1|-\omega)^2+k_2^2))|\hat{\eta}(k)|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, each critical point η_1 of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$ defines a critical point $\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 1.3. *The reduced functional $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon : X_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}(k)|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \varepsilon^2\Lambda f(\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\eta_1^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &- 16C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 - 16C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\eta_1^+|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &+ O(\varepsilon^{5/2}|||\eta_1|||^2),\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_1^+ = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi^+\hat{\eta}_1]$. (Estimates for the derivatives of the remainder term in this formula are given in Theorem 2.25.)

Finally, we use a linear change of variables $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\tilde{\eta}_1)$ which converts the ε-independent quadratic part of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$ to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}_2(k)|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\eta}_1^+]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2,$$

where $\tilde{g}_2$ is the second-order Taylor polynomial of $\tilde{g}$ at the point $(\omega, 0)$, and write

$$\tilde{\eta}_1^+(x,z) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\zeta(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon z)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega x}$$

(so that $|||\tilde{\eta}_1|||^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\zeta\|_1^2$). The functional $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon : U_\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta) := \varepsilon^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta) = \mathcal{T}_0(\zeta) + O(\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\zeta\|_1^2)$$

(with corresponding estimates for the derivatives of the remainder term) and is thus the requisite perturbation of the Davey-Stewartson functional. Here $U_\varepsilon := B_R(0) \subset H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) := \chi(\varepsilon D)H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where R is independent of ε and can be chosen arbitrarily large.

In Section 3 we seek critical points of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ by minimising it on its *natural constraint set*

$$N_\varepsilon = \{\zeta \in U_\varepsilon : \zeta \neq 0, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) = 0\},$$

our motivation being the observation that any *ground state*, that is a minimiser of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ over N_ε, is a critical point of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ (see Remark 3.1 and Willem [17, §4] for a general discussion of natural constraint sets); a ground state is necessarily nontrivial since $0 \notin N_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 1.4. *For each sufficiently large value of R there exists, for each sufficiently small value of ε (depending upon R), a minimising sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

We study minimising sequences using an abstract version of the concentration-compactness principle (Lions [13, 14]) which is given in the Appendix.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ be a minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. There exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the property that $\{\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n)\}$ converges weakly to a ground state ζ_∞.*

Clearly each critical point ζ_∞ of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ corresponds to a critical point η_∞ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$, which in turn defines a critical point $\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$; altogether we have established the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that* $c = \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon)^2\Lambda}$. *For each sufficiently small value of* ε *the functional* $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon : U \to \mathbb{R}$ *has a nontrivial critical point* $\eta^\star$, *and the function* $(x,z,t) \mapsto \eta^\star(x+ct,z,t)$ *is a fully localised solitary-wave solution to* (1.1)-(1.4).

Finally, let us remark on the notation used throughout the paper. We consistently abbreviate inequalities of the form $g_1(s) \leq cg_2(s)$, where c is a generic constant which does not depend upon ε, to $g_1(s) \lesssim g_2(s)$. Alll estimates are uniform over values of ε in an interval $(0,\varepsilon_0)$, and in general we replace ε_0 with a smaller number if necessary for the validity of our results.

2 Variational reduction

2.1 The variational functional

In this section we discuss functional-analytic aspects of the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta) = \mathcal{K}(\eta) - \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}(\eta),$$

in which

$$\mathcal{K}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \beta\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2} - \beta \right) \mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta\, K(\eta)\eta \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \tag{2.1}$$

and $K(\eta)\xi = -(\varphi|_{y=1+\eta})_x$, where φ is the solution of the boundary-value problem

$$\Delta\varphi = 0, \qquad 0 < y < 1+\eta(x,z), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\varphi_y = 0, \qquad y = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\varphi_y - \eta_x\varphi_x - \eta_z\varphi_z = \xi_x, \qquad y = 1+\eta(x,z) \tag{2.4}$$

(which is unique up to an additive constant). Anticipating the splitting of $\hat{\eta}$ into a part with support near $\pm(\omega,0)$ and a part whose support is bounded away from these points, we choose $\delta < \omega/3$, let χ^+, χ^- be the characteristic functions of respectively $B_\delta(\omega,0)$, $B_\delta(-\omega,0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and define

$$\eta_1 = \chi(D)\eta, \qquad \eta_2 = (1-\chi(D))\eta,$$

where $\chi(k) = \chi^+(k) + \chi^-(k)$. The functionals $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ were discussed in detail by Buffoni *et al.* [4, Section 2], and we defer to that reference for the proofs of the results given below.

The boundary-value problem (2.2)–(2.4)

This boundary-value problem is studied using the change of variable

$$y' = \frac{y}{1+\eta}, \qquad u(x,y',z) = \varphi(x,y,z), \tag{2.5}$$

which maps $\Sigma_\eta = \{(x,y,z)\colon x,z \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < y < 1+\eta(x,z)\}$ to the 'slab' $\Sigma = \{(x,y',z)\colon x,z \in \mathbb{R}, y' \in (0,1)\}$. Dropping the primes, we find that the boundary-value problem is transformed

into

$$\Delta u = \partial_x F_1(\eta, u) + \partial_y F_3(\eta, u) + \partial_z F_2(\eta, u), \qquad 0 < y < 1, \tag{2.6}$$
$$u_y = 0, \qquad y = 0, \tag{2.7}$$
$$u_y = F_3(\eta, u) + \xi_x, \qquad y = 1, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$F_1(\eta, u) = -\eta u_x + y\eta_x u_y, \quad F_2(\eta, u) = -\eta u_z + y\eta_z u_y,$$
$$F_3(\eta, u) = \frac{\eta u_y}{1+\eta} + y\eta_x u_x + y\eta_z u_z - \frac{y^2}{1+\eta}(\eta_x^2 + \eta_z^2)u_y;$$

equations (2.6)–(2.8) are studied using the following proposition, whose proof is given by Buffoni *et al.* [4, Propositions 2.20 and 2.21].

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $r \geq 1$. For each F_1, F_2, $F_3 \in H^r(\Sigma)$ and $\xi \in H^{r+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the boundary-value problem*

$$\Delta u = \partial_x F_1 + \partial_y F_3 + \partial_z F_2, \qquad 0 < y < 1,$$
$$u_y = 0, \qquad y = 0,$$
$$u_y = F_3 + \xi_x, \qquad y = 1,$$

admits a solution u which is unique up to an additive constant. Furthermore, the mapping $(F_1, F_2, F_3, \xi) \mapsto u$ defines a bounded linear operator $\Gamma : H^r(\Sigma)^3 \times H^{r+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to H^{r+1}_\star(\Sigma)$, where $H^{r+1}_\star(\Sigma)$ is the completion of

$$SS(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Sigma}) : |(x,z)|^m |\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\partial_y^{\alpha_2}\partial_z^{\alpha_3} u| \text{ is bounded for all } m, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{r+1}_\star(\Sigma)} := \|u_x\|_r + \|u_y\|_r + \|u_z\|_r.$$

In accordance with (2.5) we define $K(\eta)\xi = -u_x(x, 1, z)$ and study this operator in the Banach space

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{\eta \in SS'(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}} := \|\hat{\eta}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\eta_2\|_3 < \infty\}.$$

The following result is obtained by the method used by Buffoni *et al.* [4, Corollary 2.23 and Proposition 2.29] (who work in the standard Sobolev space $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$), where the 'elementary inequality' quoted on page 1031 there is replaced by

$$\|\eta w\|_2 \leq \|\eta_1 w\|_2 + \|\eta_2 w\|_2 \lesssim \|\eta_1\|_{2,\infty}\|w\|_2 + \|\eta_2\|_2\|w\|_2 \lesssim (\|\hat{\eta}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\eta_2\|_3)\|w\|_2 = \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_2$$

(which also holds for $\|\eta_x w\|_2$ and $\|\eta_z w\|_2$ since $\hat{\eta}_1$ has compact support).

Lemma 2.2. *For each $\xi \in H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and sufficiently small $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}$ the boundary-value problem* (2.6)–(2.8) *admits a solution u which is unique up to an additive constant and satisfies $u \in H^3_\star(\Sigma)$. Furthermore, the mapping $\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{L}(H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), H^3_\star(\Sigma))$ given by $\eta \mapsto (\xi \mapsto u)$ is analytic at the origin.*

Corollary 2.3. *The mapping $K(\cdot) \colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{L}(H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ is analytic at the origin.*

Analyticity of the functionals and their gradients in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

In view of Corollary 2.3 we choose M sufficiently small and study $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ in the set

$$U = \{\eta \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}} < M\},$$

noting that $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is continuously embedded in $\mathcal{Z}$ and that U is an open neighbourhood of the origin in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Corollary 2.4. *The formulae* (2.1) *define analytic functionals $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L} : U \to \mathbb{R}$.*

Our next result is proved by Buffoni *et al.* [4, Lemma 2.27].

Lemma 2.5. *The gradients $\mathcal{K}'(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{L}'(\eta)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ exist for each $\eta \in U$ and are given by the formulae*

$$\mathcal{K}'(\eta) = -\beta\left[\frac{\eta_x}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}}\right]_x - \beta\left[\frac{\eta_x}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}}\right]_z + \eta,$$
$$\mathcal{L}'(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2}(u_x^2+u_z^2) + \frac{u_y^2}{2(1+\eta)^2}(\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2) + \frac{u_y^2}{2(1+\eta)^2} - u_x\Big|_{y=1},$$

which define analytic functions $\mathcal{K}'$, $\mathcal{L}' \colon U \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Writing

$$\mathcal{K}(\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{2n}(\eta), \qquad \eta \in U,$$

where $\mathcal{K}_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{d}^n\mathcal{K}[0](\{\eta\}^n)$ (note that $\mathcal{K}_{2n+1}(\eta) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$), we obtain the explicit formulae

$$\mathcal{K}_2(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta^2 + \beta\eta_x^2 + \beta\eta_z^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \mathcal{K}_4(\eta) = -\frac{\beta}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2\right)^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_2'(\eta) = \eta - \beta\eta_{xx} - \beta\eta_{zz}, \qquad \mathcal{K}_4'(\eta) = \frac{\beta}{2}((\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2)\eta_x)_x + \frac{\beta}{2}(\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2)\eta_z)_z.$$

Semi-explicit formulae are also available for the leading-order terms in the corresponding series representation

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta K_n(\eta)\eta\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \eta \in U,$$

where $K_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{d}^n K[0](\{\eta\}^n)$, $\mathcal{L}_n(\eta) = \frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{d}^n\mathcal{L}[0](\{\eta\}^n)$ (see Buffoni *et al.* [4, Lemma 2.30 and Corollary 2.31]).

Lemma 2.6. *The functions $\mathcal{L}_2$, $\mathcal{L}_3$, $\mathcal{L}_4 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2'$, $\mathcal{L}_3'$, $\mathcal{L}_4' : U \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are given by the formulae*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_2(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta K_0\eta \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,\\
\mathcal{L}_3(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_x^2\eta - \eta(K_0\eta)^2 - \eta(L_0\eta)^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,\\
\mathcal{L}_4(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(K_0(\eta K_0\eta)\,\eta K_0\eta + 2L_0(\eta L_0\eta)\,\eta K_0\eta + \eta L_0\eta\, H_0(\eta L_0\eta)\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2\left((K_0\eta)\eta_{xx} + (L_0\eta)\eta_{xz}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_2'(\eta) &= K_0\eta,\\
\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_x^2 - (K_0\eta)^2 - (L_0\eta)^2 - 2(\eta_x\eta)_x - 2K_0(\eta K_0\eta) - 2L_0(\eta L_0\eta)\right),\\
\mathcal{L}_4'(\eta) &= K_0\eta\, K_0(\eta K_0\eta) + K_0\eta\, L_0(\eta L_0\eta) + L_0\eta\, L_0(\eta K_0\eta) + L_0\eta\, H_0(\eta L_0\eta)\\
&\qquad + \eta((K_0\eta)\eta_{xx} + (L_0\eta)\eta_{xz}) + K_2(\eta)\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}[K_0\xi] = \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}f(|k|)\hat{\xi}, \quad \mathcal{F}[L_0\xi] = \frac{k_1k_2}{|k|^2}f(|k|)\hat{\xi}, \quad \mathcal{F}[H_0\xi] = \frac{k_2^2}{|k|^2}f(|k|)\hat{\xi}.$$

Weak continuity of the gradients

Lemma 2.7. *The function $\mathcal{K}' : U \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is weakly continuous.*

Proof. The calculation

$$\langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta), \tilde{\eta}\rangle_1 = \langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta), \tilde{\eta}\rangle_0 - \langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta), \tilde{\eta}_{xx}\rangle_0 - \langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta), \tilde{\eta}_{zz}\rangle_0$$

for $\eta \in U$, $\tilde{\eta} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ shows that it suffices to show that $\mathcal{K}'$ is a weakly continuous function $U \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Suppose that $\{\eta_n\} \subset U$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty \in U$, so that $\{\eta_n\}$, $\{\eta_{nx}\}$, $\{\eta_{nz}\}$ converge strongly in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to η_∞, $\eta_{\infty x}$, $\eta_{\infty z}$. Using the formula

$$\langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta), \tilde{\eta}\rangle_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{\beta\eta_x\tilde{\eta}_x}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}} + \frac{\beta\eta_z\tilde{\eta}_z}{\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}} + \eta\tilde{\eta}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

we conclude that $\langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta_n), \tilde{\eta}\rangle_0 \to \langle \mathcal{K}'(\eta_\infty), \tilde{\eta}\rangle_0$ for each $\tilde{\eta} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. □

To obtain the corresponding result for $\mathcal{L}'$ we first establish some further mapping properties of the operator $K(\cdot)\colon U \to \mathcal{L}(H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. For this purpose we note that the solution of the boundary-value problem (2.6)–(2.8) (with $\eta \in U$, $\xi \in H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$) can be characterised as the unique solution of the equation

$$u = \Gamma(F_1(\eta, u), F_2(\eta, u), F_3(\eta, u), \xi)$$

(see Proposition 2.1).

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $\{\eta_n\} \subset U$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty \in U$ and $\{\xi_n\} \subset H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ converges weakly in $H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to ξ_∞.*

(i) The sequence $\{K(\eta_n)\xi_n\}$ converges weakly in $H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $K(\eta_\infty)\xi_\infty$.

(ii) The sequence $\{(K(\eta_\infty)-K(0))\xi_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $(K(\eta_\infty)-K(0))\xi_\infty$,.

(iii) For each $\rho \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the sequence $\big\{\big(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_n](\rho)\big)\xi_n\big\}$ converges strongly in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\big(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_\infty](\rho)\big)\xi_\infty$.

Proof. (i) Let u_n and u_∞ be the solutions to (2.6)–(2.8) with η, ξ replaced by respectively η_n, ξ_n and η_∞, ξ_∞, so that

$$u_n = \Gamma(F_1(\eta_n, u_n), F_2(\eta_n, u_n), F_3(\eta_n, u_n), \xi_n)$$

and

$$u_\infty = \Gamma(F_1(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), F_2(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), F_3(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), \xi_\infty).$$

Since $\{\eta_n\}$ and $\{\xi_n\}$ are bounded in respectively $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $H^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^3_\star(\Sigma)$. The following argument shows that any weakly convergent subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ has weak limit u_∞, so that $\{u_n\}$ itself converges weakly to u_∞; in particular $K(\eta_n)\xi_n = -u_{nx}(x, 1, z) \rightharpoonup -u_{\infty x}(x, 1, z) = K(\eta_\infty)\xi_\infty$ in $H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Suppose that (a subsequence of) $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly to u_0 in $H^3_\star(\Sigma)$. Observing that $\{\eta_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to η_∞, $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^2_{\star,\mathrm{loc}}(\Sigma)$ to u_0 and hence $\{F_j(\eta_n, u_n)\}$ converges strongly in $H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Sigma)$ to $F_j(\eta_\infty, u_0)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, we find that u_0 is the solution to (2.6)–(2.8) with η and ξ replaced by respectively η_∞ and ξ_∞, so that $u_0 = u_\infty$.

(ii) Define

$$v_n = \Gamma(F_1(\eta_\infty, u_n), F_2(\eta_\infty, u_n), F_3(\eta_\infty, u_n), 0)$$

and repeat the argument used in part (i): the sequence $\{v_n\}$ converges weakly in $H^3_\star(\Sigma)$ to v_∞, and

$$v_\infty = \Gamma(F_1(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), F_2(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), F_3(\eta_\infty, u_\infty), 0).$$

Furthermore $\{F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n)\}$ converges strongly to $F_j(\eta_\infty, u_\infty)$ in $H^1(\Sigma)$ since

$$\begin{aligned}\|F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n - u_\infty)\|_1 &\le \|F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n - u_\infty)\|_{H^1(|(x,z)|<R)} + \|F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n - u_\infty)\|_{H^1(|(x,z)|>R)} \\ &\lesssim \|F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n - u_\infty)\|_{H^1(|(x,z)|<R)} + \|\eta_\infty\|_{H^3(|(x,z)|>R)} \\ &\to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ (note that $\|\eta_\infty\|_{H^3(|(x,z)|>R)} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$ and $\{F_j(\eta_\infty, u_n)\}$ converges strongly in $H^1(|(x, z)| < R)$ to $F_j(\eta_\infty, u_\infty)$). It follows that $\{v_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^2_\star(\Sigma)$ to v_∞ and $(K(\eta_\infty) - K(0))\xi_n = -v_{nx}(x, 1, z) \to -v_{\infty x}(x, 1, z) = (K(\eta_\infty) - K(0))\xi_\infty$ in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

(iii) Let $w_n = \mathrm{d}_1 u_n[\eta_n, \xi_n](\rho)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}w_n = {}& \Gamma(F_1(\eta_n, w_n), F_2(\eta_n, w_n), F_3(\eta_n, w_n), 0) \\ &+ \Gamma(\mathrm{d}_1 F_1[\eta_n, u_n](\rho), \mathrm{d}_1 F_2[\eta_n, u_n](\rho), \mathrm{d}_1 F_3[\eta_n, u_n](\rho), 0),\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}_1F_1[\eta,u](\rho) &= -\rho u_x + y\rho_x u_y,\\
\mathrm{d}_1F_2[\eta,u](\rho) &= -\rho u_z + y\rho_z u_y,\\
\mathrm{d}_1F_3[\eta,u](\rho) &= \frac{\rho u_y}{1+\eta} - \frac{\eta u_y \rho}{(1+\eta)^2} + y\rho_x u_x + y\rho_z u_z\\
&\qquad + \frac{y^2\rho}{(1+\eta)^2}(\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2)u_y - \frac{2y^2}{1+\eta}(\eta_x\rho_x+\eta_z\rho_z)u_y;
\end{aligned}$$

the usual argument shows that $\{w_n\}$ converges weakly in $H^3_\star(\Sigma)$ to w_∞ and that $w_\infty = \mathrm{d}_1 u_\infty[\eta_\infty,\xi_\infty](\rho)$.

The argument used in part (ii) shows that $\{\mathrm{d}_1F_j[\eta_n,u_n](\rho)\}$ converges strongly in $H^1_\star(\Sigma)$ to $\mathrm{d}_1F_j[\eta_\infty,u_\infty](\rho)$, so that $\{c_n\}$ with $c_n = \Gamma(\mathrm{d}_1F_1[\eta_n,u_n](\rho),\mathrm{d}_1F_2[\eta_n,u_n](\rho),\mathrm{d}_1F_3[\eta_n,u_n](\rho),0)$ is strongly convergent in $H^2_\star(\Sigma)$. Define $A(\eta)\in\mathcal{L}(H^2_\star(\Sigma))$ by the formula $A(\eta) = \Gamma(F_1(\eta,\cdot),F_2(\eta,\cdot),F_3(\eta,\cdot),0)$, choosing M small enough so that $\sup_{\eta\in U}\|A(\eta)\|<1$, and observe that $A_n = A(\eta_n)$ has the property that $\{A_nb_n\}$ is strongly convergent whenever $\{b_n\}$ is strongly convergent. Writing (2.9) in the abstract form

$$w_n = A_nw_n + c_n,$$

we find from a familiar Neumann-series argument that $\{w_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^2_\star(\Sigma)$ which therefore converges strongly to its weak limit w_∞. It follows that $\big(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_n](\rho)\big)\xi_n = -w_{nx}(x,1,z) \to -w_{\infty x}(x,1,z) = \big(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_\infty](\rho)\big)\xi_\infty$ in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. □

Lemma 2.9. *The function $\mathcal{L}' : U \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is weakly continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}'$ is a weakly continuous function $U\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Suppose that $\{\eta_n\}\subset U$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty\in U$. Using the formula

$$\langle\mathcal{L}'(\eta),\rho\rangle_0 = \langle K(\eta)\eta,\rho\rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\eta\big(\mathrm{d}K[\eta](\rho)\big)\eta\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

and Proposition 2.8, we find that $\langle\mathcal{L}'(\eta_n),\rho\rangle_0\to\langle\mathcal{L}'(\eta_\infty),\rho\rangle_0$ for each $\rho\in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$. □

Corollary 2.10. *The function $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon : U\to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is weakly continuous.*

Remark 2.11. *The functions $\mathcal{L}'_3$, $\mathcal{L}'_4$ and $\mathcal{K}'_4 : U\to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are also weakly continuous.*

Suppose that $\{\eta_n\}\subset U$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty\in U$. It follows that $\{K_0\eta_n\}$, $\{L_0\eta_n\}$ converge strongly in $L^4_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $K_0\eta_\infty$, $L_0\eta_\infty$ and $\{\eta_nK_0\eta_n\}$, $\{\eta_nL_0\eta_n\}$, $\{(K_0\eta_n)^2\}$, $\{(L_0\eta_n)^2\}$, $\{\eta_{nx}^2\}$ converge strongly in $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty K_0\eta_\infty$, $\eta_\infty L_0\eta_\infty$, $(K_0\eta_\infty)^2$, $(L_0\eta_\infty)^2$, $\eta_{\infty x}^2$. Examining the expression for $\mathcal{L}'_3(\eta)$ given in Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $\langle\mathcal{L}'_3(\eta_n),\tilde\eta\rangle_0$ converges to $\langle\mathcal{L}'_3(\eta_\infty),\tilde\eta\rangle_0$ for each $\tilde\eta\in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Similar arguments show that $\langle\mathcal{K}'_4(\eta_n),\tilde\eta\rangle_0\to\langle\mathcal{K}'_4(\eta),\tilde\eta\rangle_0$ and $\langle\mathcal{L}'_4(\eta_n),\tilde\eta\rangle_0\to\langle\mathcal{L}'_4(\eta),\tilde\eta\rangle_0$ as $n\to\infty$ for each $\tilde\eta\in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Further notation

Finally, we denote the superquadratic part of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta)$ by $\mathcal{N}(\eta)$, that is write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}(\eta) &:= \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta) - (\mathcal{K}_2(\eta) - \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}_2(\eta)) \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{\rm nl}(\eta) - \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}_{\rm nl}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\rm nl}(\eta) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{2n}(\eta), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\rm nl}(\eta) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n(\eta);$$

in view of the above calculations we also use the notation

$$\mathcal{K}_{\rm r}(\eta) := \mathcal{K}_{\rm nl}(\eta) - \mathcal{K}_4(\eta), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\rm r}(\eta) := \mathcal{L}_{\rm nl}(\eta) - \mathcal{L}_3(\eta) - \mathcal{L}_4(\eta).$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\rm nl}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm nl}$, $\mathcal{K}_{\rm r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\rm r} : U \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are also weakly continuous.

2.2 The reduction procedure

The next step is to decompose $\mathcal{X} = H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into the direct sum of the weakly closed subspaces $\mathcal{X}_1 = \chi(D)\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}_2 = (1-\chi(D))\mathcal{X}$. Observe that $\eta \in U$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$, that is

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta](\rho) = 0$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{X}$, if and only if

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1+\eta_2](\rho_1) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1+\eta_2](\rho_2) = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon'(\eta_1+\eta_2), \rho_1\rangle_0 = 0, \qquad \langle \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon'(\eta_1+\eta_2), \rho_2\rangle_0 = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$ and $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$. Equations (2.10) are given explicity by

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta_1 - \beta\eta_{1xx} - \beta\eta_{1zz} - \Lambda K_0\eta_1 + \varepsilon^2\Lambda K_0\eta_1 \\ &\qquad + \chi(D)\left(\mathcal{N}'(\eta_1+\eta_2) + \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)\right) = 0, \qquad (2.11)\\ &\underbrace{\eta_2 - \beta\eta_{2xx} - \beta\eta_{2zz} - \Lambda K_0\eta_2}_{=\tilde{g}(D)\eta_2} + \varepsilon^2\Lambda K_0\eta_2 + (1-\chi(D))\mathcal{N}'(\eta_1+\eta_2) = 0, \qquad (2.12)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}(k) := g(|k|) + \Lambda\frac{k_2^2}{|k|^2}f(|k|) \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if $k = \pm(\omega, 0)$ (see the comments to equation (1.5)) and we have used the fact that $\chi(D)\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)$ vanishes (so that the nonlinear term in (2.11) is at leading order cubic in η_1). We accordingly write

$$\eta_2 = F(\eta_1) + \eta_3, \qquad F(\eta_1) := \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{1-\chi(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)}\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)]\right]$$

and (2.12) in the form

$$\eta_3 = -\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{1-\chi(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)}\mathcal{F}\left[\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1) + \mathcal{N}'(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3) + \Lambda\varepsilon^2 K_0(F(\eta_1)+\eta_3)\right]\right] \tag{2.13}$$

(with the requirement that $\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3 \in U$).

Proposition 2.12. *The mapping*

$$f \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{1-\chi(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)}\hat{f}\right]$$

defines a bounded linear operator $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \to H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

We proceed by solving (2.13) for η_3 as a function of η_1 using the following following fixed-point theorem, which is a straightforward extension of a standard result in nonlinear analysis.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_2$ be Banach spaces, Y_1, Y_2 be closed sets in, respectively, $\mathcal{Y}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_2$ containing the origin and $G\colon Y_1 \times Y_2 \to \mathcal{Y}_2$ be a smooth function. Suppose that there exists a continuous function $r\colon Y_1 \to [0,\infty)$ such that*

$$\|G(y_1,0)\| \le r/2, \quad \|\mathrm{d}_2 G[y_1,y_2]\| \le 1/3$$

for each $y_2 \in \bar{B}_r(0) \subset Y_2$ and each $y_1 \in Y_1$.

Under these hypotheses there exists for each $y_1 \in Y_1$ a unique solution $y_2 = y_2(y_1)$ of the fixed-point equation

$$y_2 = G(y_1, y_2)$$

satisfying $y_2(y_1) \in \bar{B}_r(0)$. Moreover $y_2(y_1)$ is a smooth function of $y_1 \in Y_1$ and in particular satisfies the estimate

$$\|\mathrm{d}y_2[y_1]\| \le 2\|\mathrm{d}_1 G[y_1, y_2(y_1)]\|$$

for its first derivative and the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathrm{d}^2 y_2[y_1]\| \le{}& 2\|\mathrm{d}_1^2 G[y_1,y_2(y_1)]\| \\ &+ 8\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathrm{d}_2 G[y_1,y_2(y_1)]\|\|\mathrm{d}_1 G[y_1,y_2(y_1)]\| + 8\|\mathrm{d}_2^2 G[y_1,y_2(y_1)]\|\|\mathrm{d}_1 G[y_1,y_2(y_1)]\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for its second derivative.

We apply Theorem 2.13 to equation (2.13) with $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{X}_1$, $\mathcal{Y}_2 = \mathcal{X}_2$, equipping $\mathcal{X}_1$ with the scaled norm

$$|||\eta||| := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + \varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1| - \omega)^2 + k_2^2))|\hat{\eta}(k)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\right)^{1/2}$$

and $\mathcal{X}_2$ with the usual norm for $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and taking $Y_1 = X_1$, $Y_2 = X_3$, where

$$X_1 = \{\eta_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1 \colon |||\eta_1||| \le R_1\}, \qquad X_3 = \{\eta_3 \in \mathcal{X}_2 \colon \|\eta_3\|_3 \le R_3\};$$

the function G is given by the right-hand side of (2.13). The following proposition shows that

$$\|\hat{\eta}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta |||\eta_1|||, \qquad \eta_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1, \tag{2.14}$$

for each fixed $\theta \in (0,1)$, so that we can guarantee that $\|\hat{\eta}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < M/2$ for all $\eta_1 \in X_1$ for an arbitrarily large value of R_1; the value of R_3 is then constrained by the requirement that $\|F(\eta_1)+\eta_3\|_3 < M/2$ for all $\eta_1 \in X_1$ and $\eta_3 \in X_3$, so that $\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3 \in U = B_M(0)$ (Corollary 2.16 below asserts that $\|F(\eta_1)\|_3 = O(\varepsilon^\theta)$ uniformly over $\eta_1 \in X_1$).

Proposition 2.14. *The estimate*

$$\|\hat{\eta}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|\|\!|\eta_1|\!\|$$

holds for each $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{\eta}_1(k)|\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(1+\varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1|-\omega)^2+k_2^2))^{1/2}}{(1+\varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1|-\omega)^2+k_2^2))^{1/2}}|\hat{\eta}_1(k)|\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &\le 2\|\!|\eta|\!\| \left(\int_{B_\delta(\omega,0)} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^{-2}((k_1-\omega)^2+k_2^2)}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\right)^{1/2}, \\ &= 2\varepsilon\|\!|\eta|\!\| \left(\int_{|k|<\delta/\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1+|k|^2}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\pi}\varepsilon(\log(1+\delta^2\varepsilon^{-2}))^{1/2}\|\!|\eta|\!\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

We proceed by systematically estimating each term appearing in the equation for G, writing

$$\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)+\mathcal{N}'(\eta) = \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'(\eta_1+F(\eta_1)+\eta_3) - \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\big(\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta)-\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)+\mathcal{L}_4'(\eta)+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}'(\eta)\big),$$

where $\eta = \eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3$, and using the inequalities (2.14) and

$$\|\eta_1\|_3 \lesssim \|\!|\eta_1|\!\|$$

to handle η_1; note in particular that

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta\|\!|\eta_1|\!\| + \|\eta_3\|_3, \qquad \|\eta\|_3 \lesssim \|\!|\eta_1|\!\| + \|\eta_3\|_3$$

for each $\eta \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

In order to estimate $F(\eta_1)$ we write $\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta) = m(\{\eta\}^2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} m(u,v) = {}& \frac{1}{2}\left(u_x v_x - (K_0 u)(K_0 v) - (L_0 u)(L_0 v)\right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\left(-(u_x v + u v_x)_x - K_0(uK_0 v + vK_0 u) - L_0(uL_0 v + vL_0 u)\right) \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 2.6), and note that

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_3'[\eta](v) = 2m(\eta,v), \qquad \mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{L}_3'[\eta](v,w) = 2m(v,w).$$

Proposition 2.15. *The estimate*

$$\|m(u,v)\|_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_3,$$

holds for each u, $v \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|m(u,v)\|_1 &\lesssim (\|u_1\|_{3,\infty} + \|K_0u_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|L_0u_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|u_2\|_3)\|v\|_3\\ &\lesssim (\|\hat{u}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u_2\|_3)\|v\|_3\\ &= \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_3,\end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the fact that

$$\|u_1\|_{m,\infty},\ \|K_0u_1\|_{m,\infty},\ \|L_0u_1\|_{m,\infty}, \|H_0u_1\|_{m,\infty} \lesssim \|\hat{u}_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (since $\hat{u}_1$ has compact support). □

Corollary 2.16. *The estimates*

$$\|F(\eta_1)\|_3 \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta |||\eta_1|||^2, \quad \|\mathrm{d}F[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{X}_2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta |||\eta_1|||, \quad \|\mathrm{d}^2F[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{X}_2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta$$

hold for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Remark 2.17. *Noting that*

$$K_0F(\eta_1) = \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{1-\chi(k)}{\tilde{g}(k)}\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}f(|k|)\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)\right]$$

and that $\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)$ *has compact support, one finds that* $K_0F(\eta_1)$ *satisfies the same estimates as* $F(\eta_1)$.

The quantity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\eta_1,\eta_3) :&= \mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3) - \mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)\\ &= 2m(\eta_1, F(\eta_1)+\eta_3) + m(F(\eta_1)+\eta_3, F(\eta_1)+\eta_3)\end{aligned}$$

is estimated by combining Proposition 2.15 and Corollary 2.16 using the chain rule.

Lemma 2.18. *The estimates*

(i) $\|\mathcal{A}(\eta_1,\eta_3)\|_1 \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^3 + \varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||^2\|\eta_3\|_3 + \varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||\|\eta_3\|_3 + \|\eta_3\|_3^2$,

(ii) $\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathcal{A}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2 + \varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||\|\eta_3\|_3 + \varepsilon^\theta\|\eta_3\|_3$,

(iii) $\|\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{A}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1||| + \|\eta_3\|_3$,

(iv) $\|\mathrm{d}_1^2\mathcal{A}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1||| + \varepsilon^\theta\|\eta_3\|_3$,

(v) $\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{A}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{X}_1\times\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^\theta$,

(vi) $\|\mathrm{d}_2^2\mathcal{A}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim 1$

hold for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$ *and* $\eta_3 \in X_3$.

The quantity $\mathcal{L}_4'(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3)$ is estimated by writing

$$\mathcal{L}_4'(\eta) = n_{\mathrm{sym}}(\{\eta\}^3) + H(\eta),$$

where

$$n_{\mathrm{sym}}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{\sigma\in S_3} n(u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)}), \qquad H(\eta) = K_2(\eta)\eta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n(u,v,w) = {} & K_0u\, K_0(vK_0w) + K_0u\, L_0(vL_0w) + L_0u\, L_0(vK_0w) + L_0u\, H_0(vL_0w) \\ & + uK_0v\, w_{xx} + uL_0v\, w_{xz} \end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 2.6).

Lemma 2.19. *The estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_4'(\eta)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|\eta\|_3, \\ \|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_4'[\eta](v)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|v\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \\ \|\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{L}_4'[\eta](v,w)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_3\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

hold for each $\eta \in U$ and $v, w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Using the estimate

$$\|fg\|_1 \lesssim \|f\|_2\|g\|_1$$

(see Hörmander [11, Theorem 8.3.1]), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_0uK_0(vK_0w)\|_1 &\lesssim (\|K_0u_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0u_2\|_2)\|K_0(vK_0w)\|_1 \\ &\lesssim (\|K_0u_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0u_2\|_2)\|vK_0w\|_2 \\ &\lesssim (\|K_0u_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0u_2\|_2)(\|v_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|v_2\|_2)\|K_0w\|_2 \\ &\lesssim (\|\hat{u}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u_2\|_3)(\|\hat{v}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|v_2\|_3)\|w\|_3 \\ &= \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_0vK_0(wK_0u)\|_1 &\lesssim (\|K_0v_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0v_2\|_2)\|wK_0u\|_2 \\ &\lesssim (\|K_0v_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0v_2\|_2)\|w\|_2(\|K_0u_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|K_0u_2\|_2) \\ &\lesssim (\|K_0v_1\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0v_2\|_2)\|w\|_3(\|K_0u_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|u_2\|_3) \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_0wK_0(uK_0v)\|_1 &\lesssim \|K_0w\|_1\|K_0(u_1K_0v_1)\|_{1,\infty} + \|K_0w\|_2(\|u_1K_0v_2\|_2 + \|u_2K_0v\|_2) \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_3\big(\|\mathcal{F}[u_1K_0v_1]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u_1\|_{2,\infty}\|v_2\|_3 + \|u_2\|_3(\|K_0v_1\|_{2,\infty} + \|v_2\|_3)\big) \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_3(\|\hat{u}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\mathcal{F}[K_0v_1]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ &\lesssim \|w\|_3(\|\hat{u}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\hat{v}_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3 \end{aligned}$$

for each u, v, $w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the same estimates hold when any occurence of K_0 is replaced by L_0 or H_0; similar calculations show that

$$\|uK_0v\,w_{xx}\|_1,\ \|vK_0w\,u_{xx}\|_1,\ \|wK_0u\,v_{xx}\|_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3,$$

and the same estimates hold for $uL_0v\,w_{xz}$, $vL_0w\,u_{xz}$ and $wL_0u\,v_{xz}$.

Altogether the above calculations show that

$$\|n_{\mathrm{sym}}(u,v,w)\|_1 \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3$$

for each u, v, $w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$; the lemma follows from this estimate and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H(\eta)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|\eta\|_3,\\
\|\mathrm{d}H[\eta](v)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|v\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}},\\
\|\mathrm{d}^2H[\eta](v,w)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_3\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}}
\end{aligned}$$

for $\eta \in U$ and v, $w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see Corollary 2.3). □

The quantity $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}'(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3)$ is handled using the next lemma, which follows from Lemmata 2.5 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.20. *The estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}'(\eta)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|\eta\|_3^2,\\
\|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}'[\eta](v)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3^2\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}},\\
\|\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}'[\eta](v,w)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|v\|_3\|w\|_3 + \|\eta\|_3^2\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\\
&\quad + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_3\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3
\end{aligned}$$

hold for each $\eta \in U$ and v, $w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Finally, we examine the quantity $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3)$.

Lemma 2.21. *The estimates*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'(\eta)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|\eta\|_3,\\
\|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'[\eta](v)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2\|v\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}},\\
\|\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'[\eta](v,w)\|_1 &\lesssim \|\eta\|_3\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|w\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}\|v\|_3\|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}}
\end{aligned}$$

hold for each $\eta \in U$ and $v, w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. It follows from the formula

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}(\eta) = -\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\eta_x^2 + \eta_z^2)^2}{2(1 + \sqrt{1 + \eta_x^2 + \eta_z^2})^2}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'(\eta) = f_1(\eta_x, \eta_z)\eta_{xx} + f_2(\eta_x, \eta_z)\eta_{xz} + f_3(\eta_x, \eta_z)\eta_{zz},$$

where f_1, f_2, f_3 are smooth functions with zeros of order two at the origin, and formulae for the derivatives of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{nl}}'$ are in turn derived from this expression. The stated estimates are obtained from these explicit formulae in the usual fashion. □

Corollary 2.22. *The quantity*

$$\mathcal{B}(\eta_1,\eta_3)=\mathcal{K}'_{\mathrm{nl}}(\eta_1+F(\eta_1)+\eta_3)-\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\big(\mathcal{L}'_4(\eta_1+F(\eta_1)+\eta_3)+\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta_1+F(\eta_1)+\eta_3)\big)$$

satisfies the estimates

(i) $\|\mathcal{B}(\eta_1,\eta_3)\|_1\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)^2(|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)$,

(ii) $\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)^2$,

(iii) $\|\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)(|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)$,

(iv) $\|\mathrm{d}_1^2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}\lesssim\varepsilon^\theta(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)$,

(v) $\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{X}_1\times\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}\lesssim\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3$,

(vi) $\|\mathrm{d}_2^2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_2,H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}\lesssim|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3$

for each $\eta_1\in X_1$ *and* $\eta_3\in X_3$.

Proof. Writing

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\eta)=\mathcal{K}'_{\mathrm{nl}}(\eta)-\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\big(\mathcal{L}'_4(\eta)+\mathcal{L}'_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta)\big),$$

one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\eta_1,\eta_3)&=\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(\eta),\\
\mathrm{d}_1\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3](v_1)&=\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](v_1+\mathrm{d}F[\eta_1](v_1)),\\
\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3](v_3)&=\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](v_3),\\
\mathrm{d}_1^2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3](\{v_1\}^2)&=\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](\{v_1+\mathrm{d}F[\eta_1](v_1)\}^2)+\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](\mathrm{d}^2F[\eta_1](\{v_1\}^2)),\\
\mathrm{d}_1\mathrm{d}_2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3](v_1,v_3)&=\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](v_1+\mathrm{d}F[\eta_1](v_1),v_3),\\
\mathrm{d}_2^2\mathcal{B}[\eta_1,\eta_3](v_3)&=\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{\mathcal{N}}[\eta](\{v_3\}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand sides of these expressions are estimated using the linearity of the derivative, Lemmata 2.19–2.21 and Corollary 2.16. □

Altogether we have established the following estimates for G and its derivatives (see Remark 2.17, Lemma 2.18 and Corollary 2.22).

Lemma 2.23. *The function* $G:X_1\times X_3\to\mathcal{X}_2$ *satisfies the estimates*

(i) $\|G(\eta_1,\eta_3)\|_3\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)^2(1+|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)+\varepsilon^2\|\eta_3\|_3$,

(ii) $\|\mathrm{d}_1G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^3(\mathbb{R}))}\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)(\varepsilon^\theta+\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)$,

(iii) $\|\mathrm{d}_2G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_2,H^3(\mathbb{R}))}\lesssim(\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)(1+|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)+\varepsilon^2$,

(iv) $\|\mathrm{d}_1^2G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1,H^3(\mathbb{R}))}\lesssim\varepsilon^\theta(\varepsilon^\theta+\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3)$,

(v) $\|\mathrm{d}_1\mathrm{d}_2G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{X}_1\times\mathcal{X}_2,H^3(\mathbb{R}))}\lesssim\varepsilon^\theta+\varepsilon^\theta|||\eta_1|||+\|\eta_3\|_3$,

(vi) $\|\mathrm{d}_2^2 G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^2(\mathcal{X}_2,H^3(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim 1 + |||\eta_1||| + \|\eta_3\|_3$

for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$ *and* $\eta_3 \in X_3$.

Theorem 2.24. *Equation* (2.13) *has a unique solution* $\eta_3 \in X_3$ *which depends smoothly upon* $\eta_1 \in X_1$ *and satisfies the estimates*

$$\|\eta_3(\eta_1)\|_3 \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2, \quad \|\mathrm{d}\eta_3[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{X}_2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||, \quad \|\mathrm{d}^2\eta_3[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}_s^2(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathcal{X}_2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}.$$

Proof. Choosing R_3 and ε sufficiently small, one finds $r > 0$ such that

$$\|G(\eta_1,0)\|_3 \le \frac{r}{2}, \qquad \|\mathrm{d}_2 G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_2,\mathcal{X}_2)} \le \frac{1}{3}$$

for $\eta_1 \in X_1$, $\eta_3 \in X_3$ (see Lemma 2.23(i), (iii)), and Theorem 2.13 asserts that equation (2.13) has a unique solution η_3 in X_3 which depends smoothly upon $\eta_1 \in X_1$. More precise estimates are obtained by choosing $C > 0$ so that

$$\|G(\eta_1,0)\|_3 \le C\varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2, \qquad \eta_1 \in X_1$$

and writing $r(\eta) = 2C\varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2$, so that

$$\|\mathrm{d}_2 G[\eta_1,\eta_3]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_2,\mathcal{X}_2)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\theta}, \qquad \eta_1 \in X_1,\ \eta_3 \in \overline{B}_{r(\eta_1)}(0) \subset X_3$$

(Lemma 2.23(i), (iii)), and the stated estimates for $\eta_3(\eta_1)$ follow from Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 2.23(ii), (iv)-(vi). □

The reduced functional $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon : X_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1) := \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)), \tag{2.15}$$

where $\eta_2(\eta_1) = F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)](\rho_2) = 0$ for all $\rho_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2$ by construction. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon[\eta_1](\rho_1) &= \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)](\rho_1) + \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)](\mathrm{d}\eta_2[\eta_1](\rho_1)) \\ &= \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)](\rho_1)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$, so that each critical point η_1 of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$ defines a critical point $\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$. Conversely, each critical point $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ with $\eta_2 - F(\eta_1) \in X_3$ has the properties that $\eta_2 = \eta_2(\eta_1)$ and η_1 is a critical point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$.

2.3 The reduced functional

In this section we compute leading-order terms in the reduced functional

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1) &= \mathcal{H}(\eta) + \Lambda\varepsilon^2\mathcal{L}_2(\eta) + \mathcal{N}(\eta) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(\eta) + \Lambda\varepsilon^2\mathcal{L}_2(\eta) + \mathcal{K}_4(\eta) + \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta) - \Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)\big(\mathcal{L}_3(\eta) + \mathcal{L}_4(\eta) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta)\big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta) := \mathcal{K}_2(\eta) - \Lambda\mathcal{L}_2(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}(k)|\hat{\eta}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2$$

and $\eta = \eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)$. Writing

$$\eta_1 = \eta_1^+ + \eta_1^-,$$

where

$$\eta_1^+ = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi^+\hat{\eta}_1], \qquad \eta_1^- = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi^-\hat{\eta}_1] = \overline{\eta_1^+},$$

we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 2.25. *The reduced functional is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}(k)|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \varepsilon^2\Lambda f(\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\eta_1^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z\\ &- 16C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 - 16C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\eta_1^+|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z\\ &+ \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C_1 &= \frac{\Lambda}{32}(\Lambda B(\omega) - 2f(\omega))^2,\\ C_2 &= \frac{g(2\omega)^{-1}\Lambda^2 A(\omega)^2}{16} + \frac{\Lambda^2 B(\omega)^2}{32} + \frac{3\beta\omega^4}{64} + \frac{\Lambda f(\omega)}{16}(f(\omega)f(2\omega) - 3\omega^2),\end{aligned}$$

$$A(\omega) = \frac{3\omega^2 - f(\omega)^2 - 2f(\omega)f(2\omega)}{2}, \qquad B(\omega) = \omega^2 - f(\omega)^2,$$

and the symbol $\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^\gamma|||\eta_1|||^r)$ (with $\gamma \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$) denotes a smooth functional $\mathcal{R} : X_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the estimates

$$|\mathcal{R}(\eta_1)| \lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma|||\eta_1|||^r, \quad \|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma|||\eta_1|||^{r-1}, \quad \|\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{R}[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1,\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma|||\eta_1|||^{\max(r-2,0)}$$

for each $\eta \in X_1$.

Remark 2.26. *The coefficient C_1 is obviously positive, while the positivity of C_2 is established by elementary arguments after substituting*

$$\beta = \frac{f'(\omega)}{2\omega f(\omega) - \omega^2 f'(\omega)}, \qquad \Lambda = \frac{2\omega}{2\omega f(\omega) - \omega^2 f'(\omega)}.$$

We begin the proof of Theorem 2.25 with a result which shows how Fourier-multiplier operators acting upon the function η_1 may be approximated by constants.

Lemma 2.27. *The estimates*

(i) $\partial_x\eta_1^\pm = \pm\mathrm{i}\omega\eta_1^\pm + \underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||)$,

(ii) $\partial_x^2 \eta_1^{\pm} = -\omega^2 \eta_1^{\pm} + \underline{O}(\varepsilon |||\eta_1|||)$,

(iii) $\partial_z \eta_1^{\pm} = \underline{O}(\varepsilon |||\eta_1|||)$,

(iv) $K_0 \eta_1^{\pm} = f(\omega) \eta_1^{\pm} + \underline{O}(\varepsilon |||\eta_1|||)$,

(v) $L_0 \eta_1^{\pm} = \underline{O}(\varepsilon |||\eta_1|||)$,

(vi) $K_0((\eta_1^{\pm})^2) = f(2\omega)(\eta_1^{\pm})^2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta} |||\eta_1|||^2)$,

(vii) $L_0((\eta_1^{\pm})^2) = \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta} |||\eta_1|||^2)$,

(viii) $K_0(\eta_1^+ \eta_1^-) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[k_1^2/|k|^2 \mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+ \eta_1^-]] + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta} |||\eta_1|||^2)$,

(ix) $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{g}(k)^{-1} \mathcal{F}[(\eta_1^{\pm})^2]] = g(2\omega)^{-1}(\eta_1^{\pm})^2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta} |||\eta_1|||^2)$,

(x) $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\tilde{g}(k)^{-1} - (1 - \Lambda k_1^2/|k|^2)^{-1}) \mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+ \eta_1^-]] = \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta} |||\eta_1|||^2)$

hold for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$*, where the symbol* $\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{\gamma} |||\eta_1|||^r)$ *(with* $\gamma \geq 0$*,* $r \geq 1$*) denotes a smooth function* $R : X_1 \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *whose Fourier transform has support which lies in a compact set whose size does not depend upon* ε *and which satisfies the estimates*

$$\|R(\eta_1)\|_0 \lesssim \varepsilon^{\gamma} |||\eta_1|||^r,$$

$$\|\mathrm{d}R[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_1, H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\gamma} |||\eta_1|||^{r-1}, \qquad \|\mathrm{d}^2 R[\eta_1]\|_{\mathcal{L}^2_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathcal{X}_1, H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\gamma} |||\eta_1|||^{\max(r-2,0)}$$

for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$*. (One may replace* $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *for any* $s \geq 0$ *in these estimates.)*

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x \eta_1^{\pm} \mp \mathrm{i}\omega \eta_1^{\pm}\|_0^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|k_1| - \omega)^2 |\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_1 \, \mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + \varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1| - \omega)^2 + k_2^2)) |\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_1 \, \mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &= \varepsilon^2 |||\eta_1|||^2, \end{aligned}$$

and iterating this argument yields (ii); similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_z \eta_1^{\pm}\|_0^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} k_2^2 |\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_1 \, \mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + \varepsilon^{-2}((|k_1| - \omega)^2 + k_2^2)) |\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_1 \, \mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &= \varepsilon^2 |||\eta_1|||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the functions $\hat{K}_0(k) = (k_1^2/|k|^2)f(|k|)$ and $\hat{L}_0(k) = (k_1k_2/|k|^2)f(|k|)$ are smooth at the points $(\pm\omega, 0)$ with $\hat{K}_0(\pm\omega, 0) = f(\omega)$ and $\hat{L}_0(\pm\omega, 0) = 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|K_0\eta_1^\pm - f(\omega)\eta_1^\pm\|_0^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}((|k_1|-\omega)^2 + k_2^2)|\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\\ &\leq \varepsilon^2|||\eta_1|||^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_0\eta_1^\pm\|_0^2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}((|k_1|-\omega)^2 + k_2^2)|\hat{\eta}_1(k)|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2\\ &\leq \varepsilon^2|||\eta_1|||^2.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the quantities to be estimated in (vi)–(x) are quadratic in η_1; it therefore suffices to estimate the corresponding bilinear operators. To this end we take $v_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$ and define $v_1^\pm$ in the same way as $\eta_1^\pm$. The argument used for (iv) and (v) above yields

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}[K_0(\eta_1^+v_1^+) - f(2\omega)\eta_1^+v_1^+]| &\lesssim |k-(2\omega,0)|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^+(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2\\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|k-s-(\omega,0)||\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^+(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|s-(\omega,0)||\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^+(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2,\end{aligned}$$

and using Young's inequality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\|K_0(\eta_1^+v_1^+) - f(2\omega)\eta_1^+v_1^+\|_0 &\lesssim \|\,|k-(\omega,0)|\hat{\eta}_1^+\,\|_0\|\hat{v}_1^+\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|\hat{\eta}_1^+\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}\|\,|k-(\omega,0)|\hat{v}_1^+\,\|_0\\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||\,|||v_1|||.\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding results for $K_0(\eta_1^-v_1^-)$ and $L_0(\eta_1^\pm v_1^\pm)$ are obtained in a similar fashion.

Turning to (viii), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathcal{F}[K_0(\eta_1^+v_1^-)] - \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^-]\right| &\lesssim \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}|f(|k|)-f(0)|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)\hat{v}_1^-(s)\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2\right|\\ &\lesssim |k|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^-(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2\\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|k-s-(\omega,0)||\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^-(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|s+(\omega,0)||\hat{\eta}_1^+(k-s)||\hat{v}_1^-(s)|\,\mathrm{d}s_1\,\mathrm{d}s_2,\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\left\|K_0(\eta_1^+v_1^-) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^-]\right]\right\|_0 \lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||\,|||v_1|||$$

(by Young's inequality). Estimate (ix) follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^+]] - \tilde{g}(2\omega,0)^{-1}\eta_1^+v_1^+\|_0 &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\tilde{g}(k)^{-1} - \tilde{g}(2\omega,0)^{-1})\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^+]]\|_0\\ &\lesssim \||k-(2\omega,0)|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^+]\|_0\\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||\,|||v_1|||\end{aligned}$$

and the identity $\tilde{g}(2\omega,0)=g(2\omega)$ (with a similar argument for $\eta_1^-v_1^-$), while (x) is a consequence of the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^-]-\left(1-\Lambda\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^{-1}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^-]\right\|_0 &\lesssim \||k|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+v_1^-]\|_0\\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||\,|||v_1|||.\end{aligned}$$

□

The next step is to derive an approximate formula for $\mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1))$.

Proposition 2.28. *The estimate*

$$\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)=A(\omega)((\eta_1^+)^2+(\eta_1^-)^2)+B(\omega)\eta_1^+\eta_1^--2f(\omega)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+\eta_1^-]\right]+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)$$

holds for each $\eta_1\in X_1$.

Proof. One obtains the stated estimate by combining the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_x^2+2\eta\eta_{xx}+(K_0\eta)^2+(L_0\eta)^2+2K_0(\eta K_0\eta)+2L_0(\eta L_0\eta)\right)$$

(see Lemma 2.6) with the calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{1x}^2&=(\mathrm{i}\omega\eta_1^+-\mathrm{i}\omega\eta_1^-+\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||))^2=-\omega^2(\eta_1^+-\eta_1^-)^2+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ (K_0\eta_1)^2&=(f(\omega)\eta_1+\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||))^2=f(\omega)^2\eta_1^2+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ (L_0\eta_1)^2&=\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||)^2=\underline{O}(\varepsilon^2|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ \eta_1\eta_{1xx}&=\eta_1(-\omega^2\eta_1+\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||)=-\omega^2\eta_1^2+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ \eta_1K_0\eta_1&=\eta_1(f(\omega)\eta_1+\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||))=f(\omega)\eta_1^2+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ \eta_1L_0\eta_1&=\eta_1\underline{O}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||)=\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}K_0(\eta_1K_0\eta_1)&=K_0(f(\omega)\eta_1^2+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2))\\ &=f(\omega)K_0(\eta_1^2)+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)\\ &=f(\omega)\left(K_0((\eta_1^+)^2)+2K_0(\eta_1^+\eta_1^-)+K_0((\eta_1^-)^2)\right)+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)\\ &=f(\omega)f(2\omega)\left((\eta_1^+)^2+(\eta_1^-)^2\right)+2f(\omega)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+\eta_1^-]\right]+\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2),\\ L_0(\eta_1L_0\eta_1)&=L_0(\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2))\\ &=\underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)\end{aligned}$$

(see Lemma 2.27). □

Corollary 2.29. *The estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)) \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\Lambda^2 g(2\omega)^{-1}A(\omega)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^2 B(\omega)^2}{2}\right)|\eta_1^+|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - 2\Lambda f(\omega)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\qquad + \frac{\Lambda(\Lambda B(\omega)-2f(\omega))^2}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. The result follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}(k)|\mathcal{F}[F(\eta_1)]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2(1-\varepsilon)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}|\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1)]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2(1-\varepsilon)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}\Big|\mathcal{F}\Big[A(\omega)((\eta_1^+)^2+(\eta_1^-)^2) + B(\omega)\eta^+\eta^- \\
&\qquad\qquad -2f(\omega)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+\eta_1^-]\right] + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\theta}|||\eta_1|||^2)\Big]\Big|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}A(\omega)^2(|\mathcal{F}[(\eta_1^+)^2]|^2 + |\mathcal{F}[(\eta_1^-)^2]|^2)\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{g}(k)^{-1}\left(B(\omega)-2f(\omega)\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^2|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+\eta_1^-]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(2\omega)^{-1}A(\omega)^2(|\mathcal{F}[(\eta_1^+)^2]|^2 + |\mathcal{F}[(\eta_1^-)^2]|^2)\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(1-\Lambda\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^{-1}\left(B(\omega)-2f(\omega)\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^2|\mathcal{F}[\eta_1^+\eta_1^-]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\qquad + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4) \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\Lambda^2 g(2\omega)^{-1}A(\omega)^2|\eta_1^+|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(1-\Lambda\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^{-1}\left(B(\omega)-2f(\omega)\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^2|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\
&\qquad + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)
\end{aligned}$$

and the identity

$$\Lambda^2\left(1-\Lambda\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^{-1}\left(B(\omega)-2f(\omega)\frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}\right)^2 = \Lambda^2 B(\omega)^2 - \frac{4\Lambda f(\omega)^2 k_1^2}{|k|^2} + \frac{\Lambda(\Lambda B(\omega)-2f(\omega))^2 k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}.$$

□

We now examine systematically each term on the right-hand side of equation (2.16).

Lemma 2.30. *The estimate*

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}(k)|\hat{\eta}_1|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)$$

holds for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathcal{H}(\eta) = \mathcal{H}(\eta_1) + \mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)) + \underbrace{\mathcal{H}((\eta_3(\eta_1))}_{=\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{4\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}(k)\mathcal{F}[\eta_3(\eta_1)]\overline{\mathcal{F}[F(\eta_1)]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2}_{=\underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)},$$

where we have used the facts that $\operatorname{supp}\hat{\eta}_1 \cap \operatorname{supp}\hat{\eta}_3(\eta_1) = \emptyset$, $\operatorname{supp}\hat{\eta}_1 \cap \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{F}[F(\eta_1)] = \emptyset$ to obtain the equation, and Corollary 2.16, Theorem 2.24 and the inequality $\tilde{g}(k) \lesssim 1 + |k|^2$ to obtain the estimates. □

Lemma 2.31. *The estimate*

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = f(\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||^2) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)$$

holds for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. Repeating the argument used in the proof of the previous lemma, we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\eta) = \mathcal{L}_2(\eta_1) + \mathcal{L}_2(F(\eta_1)) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4),$$

while

$$\mathcal{L}_2(\eta_1) = \frac{1}{2}f(\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon|||\eta_1|||^2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_2(F(\eta_1)) = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4).$$ □

Lemma 2.32. *The estimate*

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = \frac{2}{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)}\mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)$$

holds for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\eta) = p_{\mathrm{sym}}(\{\eta\}^3),$$

where

$$p_{\mathrm{sym}}(u_1, u_2, u_3) = \frac{1}{6}\sum_{\sigma\in S_3} p(u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)}, u_{\sigma(3)})$$

and

$$p(u, v, w) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(u_x v_x w - uK_0 vK_0 w - uL_0 vL_0 w\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

(see Lemma 2.6).

Using the estimate

$$|p(u,v,w)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{\theta} |||u||| \|v\|_3 \|w\|_3$$

for $u \in \mathcal{X}_1$ and $v, w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$, Corollary 2.16 and Theorem 2.24, we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = p(\{\eta_1\}^3) + 3p(\{\eta_1\}^2, F(\eta_1)) + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4).$$

On the other hand

$$p(\{\eta_1\}^3) = \mathcal{L}_3(\eta_1) = \frac{1}{3}\langle \mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1), \eta_1 \rangle_0 = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 3p(\{\eta_1\}^2, F(\eta_1)) &= \langle \mathcal{L}_3'(\eta_1), F(\eta_1) \rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)} \langle \tilde{g}(D) F(\eta_1), F(\eta_1) \rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{2}{\Lambda(1-\varepsilon^2)} \mathcal{H}(F(\eta_1)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.33. *The estimates*

$$\mathcal{K}_4(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = -\frac{3\beta\omega^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^4 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_4(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = f(\omega)(f(\omega)f(2\omega) - 3\omega^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^4 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \\ + 2f(\omega)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2} |\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_1 \, \mathrm{d}k_2 + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4). \end{aligned}$$

hold for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of the previous lemma, one finds that

$$\mathcal{K}_4(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = \mathcal{K}_4(\eta_1) + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^5),$$

and it follows from the rules given in Lemma 2.27 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_4(\eta_1) &= -\frac{\beta}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_{1x}^2 + \eta_{1z}^2)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_{1x}^4 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4) \\ &= -\frac{\beta\omega^4}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_1^+ - \eta_1^-)^4 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4) \\ &= -\frac{3\beta\omega^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_1^+)^2 (\eta_1^-)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4) \\ &= -\frac{3\beta\omega^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^4 \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z + \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta} |||\eta_1|||^4). \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $\mathcal{L}_4(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1))$ is derived in a similar fashion. □

Remark 2.34. *Note that* $\mathcal{K}_4(\eta_1)$, $\mathcal{L}_4(\eta_1) = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)$ *for each* $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Lemma 2.35. *The estimates*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{4\theta}|||\eta_1|||^6), \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta_1 + F(\eta_1) + \eta_3(\eta_1)) = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\eta_1|||^5)$$

hold for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta)| &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^3 \|\eta\|_3^2, \\
|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta)(v)| &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^3 \|\eta\|_3 \|v\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \|\eta\|_3^2 \|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \\
|\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta)(v,w)| &\lesssim \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^3 \|v\|_3 \|w\|_3 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \|\eta\|_3^2 \|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \\
&\quad + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \|\eta\|_3 \|v\|_3 \|w\|_{\mathcal{Z}} + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^2 \|\eta\|_3 \|v\|_{\mathcal{Z}} \|w\|_3
\end{aligned}$$

for $\eta \in U$ and $v, w \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see Lemmata 2.2 and 2.5) and since

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{r}}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(\eta_x, \eta_z)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

where f is analytic at the origin where it has a zero of order six, it satisfies similar estimates (with the power of $\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ increased by one). The stated result follows from this observation, Corollary 2.16 and Theorem 2.24. □

Theorem 2.25 is proved by inserting the above estimates into the right-hand side of (2.16). The next step is to convert $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$ into a perturbation of the Davey-Stewartson functional, the main issue being the replacement of $\tilde{g}(k)$ by its second-order Taylor polynomial at the point $(\omega, 0)$, that is

$$\tilde{g}_2(k) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{k_1}^2 \tilde{g}(\omega,0)(k_1-\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{k_2}^2 \tilde{g}(\omega,0)k_2^2.$$

Using the simple inequality $|\tilde{g}(k) - \tilde{g}_2(k)| \lesssim |k-(\omega,0)|^3$ for $k \in \operatorname{supp}\eta_1^+$ leads to the insufficient estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{g}(k) - \tilde{g}_2(k)||\eta_1^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_1 = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^2|||\eta_1|||^2),$$

(at the next step the functional is scaled by ε^{-2}). The desired effect is however achieved using the change of variable

$$\eta_1 = \left(\frac{\tilde{g}_2(D)}{\tilde{g}(D)}\right)^{1/2} \tilde{\eta}_1,$$

(which defines an isomorphism $\chi(D)H^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \chi(D)H^1(\mathbb{R})$).

Lemma 2.36. *The reduced functional is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1(\tilde{\eta}_1)) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{g}_2(k)|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\eta}_1^+]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \varepsilon^2\Lambda f(\omega)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\tilde{\eta}_1^+|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&- 16C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\tilde{\eta}_1^+|^2]|^2\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 - 16C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\tilde{\eta}_1^+|^4\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&+ \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\varepsilon^{3\theta}|||\tilde{\eta}_1|||^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The inequality

$$\|\eta_1^+|^4 - |\tilde\eta_1^+|^4| \lesssim |\eta_1^+ - \tilde\eta_1^+|(|\eta_1^+|^3 + |\tilde\eta_1^+|^3)$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde\eta_1^+|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z\right| &\le (\|\eta_1^+\|_\infty^2 + \|\tilde\eta_1^+\|_\infty^2)(\|\eta_1^+\|_0 + \|\tilde\eta_1^+\|_0)\|\eta_1^+ - \tilde\eta_1^+\|_0 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^3 \left\| \left(1 - \left(\frac{\tilde g(D)}{\tilde g_2(D)}\right)^{1/2}\right)\hat\eta_1^+ \right\|_0 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^3 \| \, |k-(\omega,0)|\hat\eta_1^+ \, \|_0 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4,\end{aligned}$$

and estimating derivatives in a similar way, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\eta_1^+|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde\eta_1^+|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4).$$

Similar arguments show that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{|k|^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\tilde\eta_1^+|^2]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\eta_1^+|^2]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\tilde\eta_1^+|^2]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + \underline{O}(\varepsilon^{1+2\theta}|||\eta_1|||^4)\end{aligned}$$

(note that the multipliers $k_1^2/|k|^2$ and $k_1^2/((1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2)$ are bounded). □

Finally, we write

$$\tilde\eta_1^+(x,z) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\zeta(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon z)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega x},$$

abbreviating the composite change of variable (an isomorphism $\chi(\varepsilon D)H^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \chi(D)H^1(\mathbb{R})$) and its inverse to $\eta_1(\zeta)$ and $\zeta(\eta_1)$, and define

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta) := \varepsilon^{-2}\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon(\eta_1(\zeta)).$$

Using Lemma 2.36, one finds that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta) = \mathcal{Q}(\zeta) - SS(\zeta) + \varepsilon^{3\theta-2}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\zeta), \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(a_1|\zeta_x|^2 + a_2|\zeta_z|^2 + a_3|\zeta|^2\right) \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \\ SS(\zeta) &= C_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2+k_2^2}|\mathcal{F}[|\zeta|^2]|^2 \,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + C_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\zeta|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z, \\ a_1 &= \frac{1}{8}\partial_{k_1}^2\tilde g(\omega,0), \qquad a_2 = \frac{1}{8}\partial_{k_2}^2\tilde g(\omega,0), \qquad a_3 = \frac{1}{4}\Lambda f(\omega)\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\zeta) = \underline{\mathcal{O}}(\|\zeta\|_1^2)$ (note that $|||\tilde{\eta}_1|||^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|\zeta\|_1^2$). It is convenient to choose the concrete value $\theta = 5/6$, so that $\varepsilon^{3\theta-2} = \varepsilon^{1/2}$. We study the functional $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ in

$$U_\varepsilon := B_R(0) \subseteq H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) := \chi(\varepsilon D)H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where R is independent of ε and satisfies $R^2 \leq 2R_1^2 \sup \tilde{g}/\tilde{g}_2$; we may therefore take it arbitrarily large.

Remark 2.37. *By construction*

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1 + \eta_2(\eta_1)](\rho_1) = \varepsilon^2 \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta(\eta_1)](\zeta(\rho_1)), \qquad \rho_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1,$$

for each $\eta_1 \in X_1$ and

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\xi) = \varepsilon^{-2} \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1(\zeta) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta))](\eta_1(\xi)), \qquad \xi \in H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

for each $\zeta \in U_\varepsilon$.

3 Existence theory

3.1 The natural constraint

We find critical points of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ by minimising it over its *natural constraint set*

$$N_\varepsilon = \{\zeta \in U_\varepsilon : \zeta \neq 0, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) = 0\},$$

noting the identity

$$0 = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) = 2\mathcal{Q}(\zeta) - 4SS(\zeta) + \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) \tag{3.1}$$

and resulting estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta,\zeta) &= 2\mathcal{Q}(\zeta) - 12SS(\zeta) + \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta,\zeta) \\ &= -4\mathcal{Q}(\zeta) - 3\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) + \varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta,\zeta) \\ &= -4\mathcal{Q}(\zeta) + O(\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\zeta\|_1^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for points $\zeta \in N_\varepsilon$.

Remark 3.1. *Any 'ground state', that is a minimiser $\zeta^\star$ of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ over N_ε, is a (necessarily nonzero) critical point of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$. Define $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon : U_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(\zeta) = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta)$, so that $N_\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta]$ does not vanish on N_ε (since $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) = \mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta,\zeta) < 0$ for $\zeta \in N_\varepsilon$). There exists a Lagrange multiplier μ such that*

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star] - \mu \mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star] = 0,$$

and the calculation

$$\mu = \frac{-(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star] - \mu\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star])(\zeta^\star)}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star](\zeta^\star)} = \frac{-(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star] - \mu\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star])(\zeta^\star)}{\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\star](\zeta^\star,\zeta^\star)}$$

shows that $\mu = 0$.

We first present a geometrical interpretation of N_ε.

Proposition 3.2. *Any ray in $B_R(0)\setminus\{0\}\subset H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ intersects N_ε in precisely one point and the value of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ along such a ray attains a strict maximum at this point.*

Proof. Let $\zeta\in B_R(0)\setminus\{0\}\subset H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and consider the value of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ along the ray in $B_R(0)\setminus\{0\}$ through ζ, that is the set $\{\lambda\zeta : 0<\lambda<R/\|\zeta\|_1\}\subset H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The calculation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta)=\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\lambda\zeta](\zeta)=\lambda^{-1}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\lambda\zeta](\lambda\zeta)$$

shows that $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta)=0$ if and only if $\lambda\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$; furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta)&=2\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)-12\lambda^{-2}S(\lambda\zeta)+\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\lambda\zeta](\zeta,\zeta)\\&=-4\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)-3\lambda^{-2}\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\lambda\zeta](\lambda\zeta)+\varepsilon^{1/2}\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\lambda\zeta](\zeta,\zeta)\\&=-4\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)+O(\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\zeta\|_1^2)\\&<0\end{aligned}$$

for each ζ with $\lambda\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$. □

Remark 3.3. *Proposition 3.2 also holds for $\mathcal{T}_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\to\mathbb{R}$ (with $R=\infty$).*

Using (3.1), we can eliminate respectively $SS(\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)$ from (2.17) to obtain formulae

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)+\varepsilon^{1/2}\left(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\zeta)-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta)\right)\tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)=SS(\zeta)+\varepsilon^{1/2}\left(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\zeta)-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta)\right)\tag{3.4}$$

for $\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$ which lead to *a priori* bounds for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$.

Proposition 3.4. *There exist constants D_1, $D_2>0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)\geq D_1\|\zeta\|_1^2$$

for all $\zeta\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)\geq\tfrac{1}{4}D_1\|\zeta\|_1^2,\qquad \|\zeta\|_1\geq D_2$$

for each $\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$. Furthermore, each $\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$ with $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)<\frac{1}{4}D_1(R-1)^2$ satisfies $\|\zeta\|_1<R-1$.

Proof. The first estimate is obtained by choosing $D_1=\min(a_1,a_2,a_3)$. Let $\zeta\in N_\varepsilon$. Using (3.3), we find that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)\geq\tfrac{1}{4}\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)\geq\tfrac{1}{4}D_1\|\zeta\|_1^2,$$

so that in particular $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta)<\frac{1}{4}D_1(R-1)^2$ implies that $\|\zeta\|_1<R-1$. The lower bound for $\|\zeta_1\|_1$ follows from the estimate

$$\|\zeta\|_1^2\lesssim 2\mathcal{Q}(\zeta)\leq 4SS(\zeta)+\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\zeta)\|\|\zeta\|_1\lesssim\|\zeta\|_1^4+\varepsilon^{1/2}\|\zeta\|_1^2,$$

in which we have used (3.1). □

Remark 3.5. *It follows from Proposition 3.4 that* $c_\varepsilon = \inf_{N_\varepsilon} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ *satisfies* $\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} c_\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.6. *For each sufficiently large value of* R *(chosen independently of* ε*) there exists* $\zeta^\star \in N_\varepsilon$ *such that* $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta^\star) < \frac{1}{4} D_1 (R-1)^2$.

Proof. Choose $\zeta_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ and $R > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}(\zeta_0)^2}{SS(\zeta_0)} < D_1 (R-1)^2.$$

The calculation

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_0[\lambda_0\zeta_0](\lambda_0\zeta_0) = 2\lambda_0^2 \mathcal{Q}(\zeta_0) - 4\lambda_0^4 SS(\zeta_0)$$

shows that $\lambda_0\zeta_0 \in N_0$, where

$$\lambda_0 = \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}(\zeta_0)}{2SS(\zeta_0)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows that $\lambda_0\zeta_0$ is the unique point on its ray which lies on N_0, and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_0(\lambda\zeta_0)\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} = 0, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} \mathcal{T}_0(\lambda\zeta_0)\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} < 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore

$$\mathcal{T}_0(\lambda_0\zeta_0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}(\lambda_0\zeta_0) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}(\zeta_0)^2}{4SS(\zeta_0)} < \tfrac{1}{4} D_1 (R-1)^2, \tag{3.6}$$

so that

$$\|\lambda_0\zeta_0\|_1^2 < \tfrac{1}{2}(R-1)^2.$$

Let $\zeta_\varepsilon = \chi(\varepsilon D)\zeta_0$, so that $\zeta_\varepsilon \in H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|\zeta_\varepsilon - \zeta_0\|_1 = 0$, and in particular

$$\|\lambda_0\zeta_\varepsilon\|_1^2 < \tfrac{1}{2}(R-1)^2$$

(so that $\lambda_0\zeta_1 \in U_\varepsilon$). According to (3.5) we can find $\widetilde{\gamma} > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_0(\lambda\zeta_0)\Big|_{\lambda=\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\lambda_0} > 0, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_0(\lambda\zeta_0)\Big|_{\lambda=\tilde{\gamma}\lambda_0} < 0,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta_\varepsilon)\Big|_{\lambda=\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\lambda_0} > 0, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta_\varepsilon)\Big|_{\lambda=\tilde{\gamma}\lambda_0} < 0$$

(the quantities on the left-hand sides of the inequalities on the second line converge to those on the first as $\varepsilon \to 0$). It follows that there exists $\lambda_\varepsilon \in (\widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}\lambda_0, \widetilde{\gamma}\lambda_0)$ with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda\zeta_\varepsilon)\Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_\varepsilon} = 0,$$

that is $\lambda_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon$, and we conclude that this value of λ_ε is unique (see Proposition 3.2) and that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon = \lambda_0$ (so that $\|\lambda_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon\|_1^2 < \frac{1}{2}(R-1)^2$). Using the limit

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{T}_0(\lambda_0\zeta_0)$$

and (3.6), we find that

$$\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\lambda_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon) < \tfrac{1}{4} D_1 (R-1)^2. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 3.7. *Any minimising sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ satisfies*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\zeta_n\|_1 < R - 1.$$

Our final result shows that there is a minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ which is also a Palais-Smale sequence.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists a minimising sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. Ekeland's variational principle (Ekeland [9, Theorem 3.1]) asserts the existence of a minimising sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ and a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ of real numbers such that

$$\|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] - \mu_n \mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n]\| \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, and the calculation

$$\mu_n = \frac{-(\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] - \mu_n \mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n])(\zeta_n)}{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n](\zeta_n)} = \frac{o(\|\zeta_n\|_1)}{\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n](\zeta_n, \zeta_n)}$$

shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n = 0$ because $-\mathrm{d}^2\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n](\zeta_n, \zeta_n) \gtrsim \|\zeta_n\|_1^2$ and $\|\zeta_n\|_1 \geq D_2$ (see (3.2) and Proposition 3.4). □

We conclude this section with a remark which applies in particular to the sequence constructed in Theorem 3.8 (extracting a subsequence if necessary, we always assume that such sequences are weakly convergent).

Remark 3.9. *Recall that $H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is topologically identical to $H^s_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) := \chi(\varepsilon D)H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $s \geq 0$. Any sequence which is weakly convergent in $H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is therefore in particular also weakly convergent in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and strongly convergent in $H^3_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We thus henceforth use the phrase 'weakly convergent' synonomously with 'weakly convergent in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and strongly convergent in $H^3_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$' when discussing sequences in $H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$; all other convergence properties of such sequences are deduced using standard embedding theorems.*

3.2 Existence of a critical point

In this section we show that the minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ constructed in Theorem 3.8 converges weakly (up to translations) to a nontrivial critical point of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$. The result is stated in Theorem 3.12 below; the following lemmata, which show respectively that Palais-Smale sequences converge weakly to critical points, and that 'vanishing' does not occur, are used in its proof.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a sequence in $B_{R-1}(0) \subset H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the property that $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Its weak limit ζ_∞ is a critical point of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$ and $\eta_n = \eta_1(\zeta_n) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_n))$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty = \eta_1(\zeta_\infty) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_\infty))$ (which is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$).*

Proof. Observe that

$$|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_{1,n}+\eta_2(\eta_{1,n})](\rho_1)| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n]\|\|\rho_1\|$$

for each $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1$, where we have abbreviated $\{\eta_1(\zeta_n)\}$ to $\{\eta_{1,n}\}$ (see Remark 2.37), so that

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_{1,n}+\eta_2(\eta_{1,n})] \to 0$$

and hence

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon'(\eta_{1,n}+\eta_2(\eta_{1,n})), \rho\rangle_0 \to 0 \tag{3.7}$$

for each $\rho \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to \infty$.

The sequence $\{\eta_{1,n}\} \subset X_1$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{X}_1$ to $\eta_{1,\infty} = \eta_1(\zeta_\infty) \in X_1$, and it follows from Remark 2.11 that $\{F(\eta_{1,n})\}$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $F(\eta_{1,\infty})$. Let $\eta_{3,n}$ be the unique solution in X_3 of equation (2.13) with $\eta_1 = \eta_{1,n}$, so that

$$\eta_{3,n} = G(\eta_{1,n}, \eta_{3,n}).$$

Observing that $G : X_1 \times X_3 \to H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is weakly continuous, we find that the weak limit $\eta_{3,\infty} \in X_3$ of $\{\eta_{3,n}\}$ in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$\eta_{3,\infty} = G(\eta_{1,\infty}, \eta_{3,\infty}),$$

so that $\eta_{3,\infty} = \eta_3(\eta_{1,\infty})$ (because the fixed-point equation $\eta_3 = G(\eta_{1,\infty}, \eta_3)$ has a unique solution in X_3).

Altogether this argument shows that $\{\eta_2(\eta_{1,n})\}$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{X}_2$ to $\eta_{2,\infty} = \eta_2(\eta_{1,\infty})$. Writing $\eta_\infty = \eta_{1,\infty} + \eta_{2,\infty}$ and using (3.7) and Corollary 2.10, we find that

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon'(\eta_\infty), \rho\rangle_0 = 0$$

for all $\rho \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Consequently $\eta_{1,\infty}$ is a critical point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_\varepsilon$ (see the remarks at the end of Section 2.2) and ζ_∞ is a critical point of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$. □

Lemma 3.11. *Every sequence $\{\zeta_n\} \subset N_\varepsilon$ has the property that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \|\zeta_n\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} \neq 0.$$

Proof. The contrary assumption

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \|\zeta_n\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} = 0$$

implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\zeta_n\|_\infty = 0$$

and hence that

$$SS(\zeta_n) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\zeta_n|^4 \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \le \|\zeta_n\|_\infty^2 R^2 \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. It follows from (3.4) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta_n) = O(\varepsilon^{1/2}),$$

which contradicts Proposition 3.4. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ be a minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. There exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the property that $\{\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n)\}$ converges weakly to a nontrivial critical point ζ_∞ of $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon$. The function $\eta_\infty = \eta_1(\zeta_\infty) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_\infty))$ is a nonzero critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.10 it remains only to demonstrate that we can select $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ so that the weak limit of $\{\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n)\}$ is not zero. Supposing the contrary, we find that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n)\|_{H^3(\{w:|w|_\infty<1/2\})} = 0$$

for all sequences $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ (see Remark 3.9) and hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \|\zeta_n\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} = 0,$$

which contradicts Lemma 3.11. □

3.3 Existence of a ground state

In this section we improve the result of Theorem 3.12, showing that we can choose the sequence $\{w_n\}$ to ensure convergence to a ground state.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ be a minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. There exists a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with the property that a subsequence of $\{\zeta_n(\cdot+w_n)\}$ converges weakly to a ground state ζ_∞ (so that $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta_\infty) = c_\varepsilon$).*

Moreover, the sequence $\{\eta_n(\cdot+w_n)\} \subset U$, where $\eta_n = \eta_1(\zeta_n)+\eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s \in [0, 3)$ to $\eta_\infty = \eta_1(\zeta_\infty) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_\infty))$, and this function is a nonzero critical point of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$.

The proof of Theorem 3.13 consists of Lemma 3.14, Proposition 3.16 and Lemmata 3.17, 3.18 below; here $\{\zeta_n\} \subset B_{R-1}(0)$ is a minimising sequence for $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon|_{N_\varepsilon}$ with $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 3.14. *There exists $\{w_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\zeta_\infty \in N_\varepsilon$ such that $\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n) \rightharpoonup \zeta_\infty$ and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \|\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n) - \zeta_\infty\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} = 0.$$

Proof. This lemma is established by applying the abstract concentration-compactness theory given in Appendix A and showing that 'concentration' occurs. We set $H = H^3((-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^2)$, define $x_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, H)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$x_{n,j} = \zeta_n(\cdot + j)|_{(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})^2} \in H^3((-\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2})^2), \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

and apply Lemmata A.1 and A.2 to the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, H)$, noting that

$$\|x_n\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2,H)} = \|\zeta_n\|_3, \qquad \|x_n\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2,H)} = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \|\zeta_n\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-k|_\infty<1/2\})}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assumptions (i) and (ii) follow from the fact that $\{\zeta_n(\cdot+j)|_{(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})^2} : n \geq 1, j \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ is bounded in $H^s((-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})^2)$ for all $s \geq 0$, while assumption (iii) is verified by Lemma 3.11. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, the theory asserts the existence of a natural number m, sequences $\{w_n^1\}, \ldots, \{w_n^m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |w_n^{m''} - w_n^{m'}| \to \infty, \qquad 1 \leq m'' < m' \leq m, \tag{3.8}$$

and functions $\zeta^1, \ldots, \zeta^m \in H^1_\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n^{m'}) \rightharpoonup \zeta^{m'}$ (see Remark 3.9),

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \left\| \zeta_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \zeta^\ell(\cdot - w_n^\ell) \right\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} \leq \varepsilon, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^m \|\zeta^\ell\|_3^2 \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\zeta_n\|_3^2 \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \left\| \zeta_n - \zeta^1(\cdot - w_n^1) \right\|_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})} = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

if $m = 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.10 that $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta^\ell] = 0$, so that $\zeta^\ell \in N_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta^\ell) \geq c_\varepsilon$.

Writing

$$\tilde{\zeta}_n = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \zeta^\ell(\cdot - w_n^\ell)$$

and $SS(f) = [|f|^2, |f|^2]$, where

$$[f_1, f_2] := C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2 + k_2^2} \mathcal{F}[f_1]\overline{\mathcal{F}[f_2]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_1 f_2\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

defines a continous inner product for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left|SS(\zeta_n)-SS(\tilde{\zeta}_n)\right| \\
&\quad=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left[\,|\zeta_n|^2-|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\,\right] \\
&\quad\lesssim\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2-|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\left\|\mathrm{Re}\left((\zeta_n-\tilde{\zeta}_n)\overline{(\zeta_n+\tilde{\zeta}_n)}\right)\right\|^2_{L^2(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\right\}^{1/2}\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad\le\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\left\|\zeta_n-\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|^2_{L^4(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\left\|\zeta_n+\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|^2_{L^4(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\right\}^{1/2}\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad\lesssim\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\left\|\zeta_n-\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|^2_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\left\|\zeta_n+\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|^2_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\right\}^{1/2}\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad\lesssim\varepsilon\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\left\|\zeta_n+\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|^2_{H^3(\{w:|w-j|_\infty<1/2\})}\right\}^{1/2}\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad\lesssim\varepsilon\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\|\zeta_n+\tilde{\zeta}_n\right\|_3\left\|\,|\zeta_n|^2+|\tilde{\zeta}_n|^2\right\|_0 \\
&\quad\lesssim\varepsilon\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\|\zeta_n\|_3+\|\tilde{\zeta}_n\|_3\right)\left(\|\zeta_n\|_3^2+\|\tilde{\zeta}_n\|_3^2\right) \\
&\quad\lesssim\varepsilon\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|\zeta_n\|_3^3 \\
&\quad=O(\varepsilon), \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (3.9) and (3.10), and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}SS(\tilde{\zeta}_n)&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3,\ell_4}\left[\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_1})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_2}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_2})},\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_3})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_4}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_4})}\right] \\
&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{\ell_1,\ell_3}\left[\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_1})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_1})},\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_3})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot-w_n^{\ell_3})}\right] \\
&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left[\zeta^{\ell}\overline{\zeta^{\ell}},\zeta^{\ell}\overline{\zeta^{\ell}}\right] \\
&=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}S(\zeta^{\ell}), \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_2}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_2})}\|_0^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})|^2 \overline{|\zeta^{\ell_2}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_2})|^2}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})|^2\right] \overline{\mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_2}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_2})|^2\right]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-w_n^{\ell_1}+w_n^{\ell_2})\cdot(k_1,k_2)} \mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_1}|^2\right] \overline{\mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_2}|^2\right]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})}, \zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_3})\overline{\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_3})}\right] \\ &\quad = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[|\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})|^2, |\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_3})|^2\right] \\ &\quad = C_1 \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2 + k_2^2} \mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})|^2\right] \overline{\mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_3})|^2\right]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &\qquad + C_2 \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\zeta^{\ell_1}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_1})|^2 \overline{|\zeta^{\ell_3}(\cdot - w_n^{\ell_3})|^2}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &\quad = C_1 \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{k_1^2}{(1-\Lambda)k_1^2 + k_2^2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-w_n^{\ell_1}+w_n^{\ell_3})\cdot(k_1,k_2)} \mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_1}|^2|\right] \overline{\mathcal{F}\left[|\zeta^{\ell_3}|^2\right]}\,\mathrm{d}k_1\,\mathrm{d}k_2 \\ &\quad = 0\end{aligned}$$

for $\ell_1 \neq \ell_3$ (by (3.8) and the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma).

Using (3.12), (3.13), the estimate

$$S(\zeta^\ell) \geq c_\varepsilon - O(\varepsilon^{1/2})\|\zeta^\ell\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \qquad \ell = 1, \ldots, m$$

(see (3.4)) and (3.10), we find that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} SS(\zeta_n) \geq mc_\varepsilon - O(\varepsilon^{1/2}),$$

in which the $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ term does not depend on m. It follows from (3.4) that

$$c_\varepsilon \geq mc_\varepsilon - O(\varepsilon^{1/2}),$$

so that $m = 1$ (recall that $\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} c_\varepsilon > 0$ (Remark 3.5)). The advertised result now follows from (3.11) with $\zeta_\infty = \zeta^1$ and $w_n = w_n^1$. □

With a slight abuse of notation we now abbreviate the subsequence of $\{\zeta_n(\cdot + w_n)\}$ identified in Lemma 3.14 to $\{\zeta_n\}$ and define $\{\eta_n\} \subset U$ by $\eta_n = \eta_1(\zeta_n) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_n))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The convergence properties of $\{\eta_n\}$ are examined in Proposition 3.16, whose proof makes use of the following remark.

Remark 3.15. *Suppose that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\infty$ in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The limit*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u_n - u_\infty\|_{1,\infty} = 0$$

holds if and only if $u_n(\cdot - j_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all unbounded sequences $\{j_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proposition 3.16. *The sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and strongly in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (and hence in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $p > 2$) to the nonzero critical point $\eta_\infty = \eta_1(\zeta_\infty) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta_\infty))$ of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$.*

Proof. First note that $\{\eta_n\}$ converges weakly in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\eta_\infty \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_\infty] = 0$ (see Lemma 3.10).

Let $\{j_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ with $|j_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows from Lemma 3.14 and Remark 3.15 that $\zeta_n(\cdot - j_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to \infty$, and Lemma 3.10 shows that $\eta_n(\cdot - j_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to \infty$, so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\eta_n - \eta_\infty\|_{1,\infty} = 0$$

(Remark 3.15). □

Lemma 3.17. *The sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ satsfies $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_n) \to \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_\infty)$ and in particular $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta_n) = \varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_n) \to \varepsilon^{-2}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_\infty) = \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(\zeta_\infty)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. It follows from the relation

$$\varepsilon^2 \mathrm{d}\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon[\zeta](\zeta) = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_1(\zeta) + \eta_2(\eta_1(\zeta))](\eta_1(\zeta))$$

(see Remark 2.37) that $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_n](\eta_n) \to 0$, and we demonstrate that $2\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_n) - \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_n](\eta_n) \to 2\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_\infty) - \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta_\infty](\eta_\infty)$ as $n \to \infty$.

A straightforward calculation yields

$$2\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta) - \mathrm{d}\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon[\eta](\eta) = \mathcal{G}(\eta) + \frac{(1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta(\mathrm{d}K[\eta]\eta)\eta \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(\eta) = \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\eta_x^2 + \eta_z^2)^2}{(\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}+1)^2\sqrt{1+\eta_x^2+\eta_z^2}} \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{G}(\eta_n) \to \mathcal{G}(\eta_\infty)$ because $\{\eta_n\}$ converges strongly in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to η_∞. Furthermore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_n]\eta_n - \mathrm{d}K[\eta_\infty]\eta_\infty)\eta_n \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ because the map $K(\cdot) : W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \to \mathcal{L}(H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2), H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ is analytic at the origin, and it follows from Proposition 2.8(iii) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_\infty]\eta_\infty)\eta_n \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_\infty(\mathrm{d}K[\eta_\infty]\eta_\infty)\eta_\infty \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z$$

as $n \to \infty$. □

Finally, we strengthen the convergence result given in Proposition 3.16.

Lemma 3.18. *The sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s \in [0, 3)$ to η_∞.*

Proof. It suffices to establish this result for $s = 1$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.17 and using Proposition 2.8(ii), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_n^2 + \beta\eta_{nx}^2 + \beta\eta_{nz}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n K(0)\eta_n\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad = -\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\eta_{nx}^2 + \eta_{nz}^2)^2}{(\sqrt{1+\eta_{nx}^2+\eta_{nz}^2}+1)^2}\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n(K(\eta_n)-K(0))\eta_n\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z
\end{aligned}$$

converges to

$$2\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\eta_\infty) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_\infty^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty x}^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty z}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_\infty K(0)\eta_\infty\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_n^2 + \beta\eta_{nx}^2 + \beta\eta_{nz}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n K(0)\eta_n\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_\infty^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty x}^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty z}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_\infty K(0)\eta_\infty\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$, and this result in turn implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ((\eta_n - \eta_\infty)^2 + \beta(\eta_{nx} - \eta_{\infty x})^2 + \beta(\eta_{nz} - \eta_{\infty z})^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\qquad - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_n - \eta_\infty)K(0)(\eta_n - \eta_\infty)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_n^2 + \beta\eta_{nx}^2 + \beta\eta_{nz}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n K(0)\eta_n\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_\infty^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty x}^2 + \beta\eta_{\infty z}^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_\infty K(0)\eta_\infty\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\qquad - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta_n\eta_\infty + \beta\eta_{nx}\eta_{\infty x} + \beta\eta_{nz}\eta_{\infty z})\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z + 2(1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta_n K(0)\eta_\infty\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ because η_n converges to η_∞ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Noting that

$$\eta \mapsto \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\eta^2 + \beta\eta_x^2 + \beta\eta_z^2)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z - (1-\varepsilon^2)\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta K(0)\eta\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}z \right\}^{1/2}$$

defines a norm equivalent to the usual norm for $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we conclude that $\{\eta_n\}$ converges strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to η_∞. □

A Appendix: Concentration-compactness

In this Appendix we establish an abstract result of concentration-compactness type, following ideas due to Benci & Cerami [2]. Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$, where H is a Hilbert space and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Writing $x_n = (x_{n,j})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}^s}$, where $x_{n,j} \in H$, suppose that

(i) $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$,

(ii) $S = \{x_{n,j} : n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^s\}$ is relatively compact in H,

(iii) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} > 0$.

Lemma A.1. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ the sequence $\{x_n\}$ admits a subsequence with the following properties. There exist a finite number m of non-zero vectors $x^1, \dots, x^m \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ and sequences $\{w_n^1\}, \dots, \{w_n^m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that*

$$T_{-w_n^{m'}} \left(x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'-1} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right) \rightharpoonup x^{m'}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\|x^{m'}\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'-1} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right\|_{l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)}, \tag{A.2}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|^2_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'} \|x^\ell\|^2_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} + \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right\|^2_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} \tag{A.3}$$

for $m' = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} \le \varepsilon, \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n - T_{w_n^1} x^1 \right\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s,H)} = 0 \tag{A.5}$$

if $m = 1$. Here the weak convergence is understood in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ and $T_w : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$, $w \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, denotes the translation operator $T_w(x_j) = (x_{j-w})$.

Proof. Observe that $x_n \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^2} \ge \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^\infty} > 0.$$

(In this proof we abbreviate $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ and $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ to respectively ℓ^2 and ℓ^∞ and extract subsequences where necessary for the validity of our arguments.)

Choose the sequence $\{w_n^1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ such that $\|x_{n,w_n^1}\|_H = \|x_n\|_{\ell^\infty}$ ($\{x_n\} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ implies that $\|x_{n,j}\|_H \to 0$ as $|j| \to \infty$). Because $\{T_{-w_n^1} x_n\}$ is bounded there exists $x^1 \in \ell^2$ such that $T_{-w_n^1} x_n \rightharpoonup x^1$, and the relative compactness of S implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(T_{-w_n^1} x_n)_j - x_j^1\|_H = 0$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. Since $\|(T_{-w_n^1} x_n)_j\|_H \le \|(T_{-w_n^1} x_n)_0\|_H$ by construction it follows that $\|x_j^1\|_H \le \|x_0^1\|_H$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ and hence that $\|x_0^1\|_H = \|x^1\|_{\ell^\infty}$. We conclude that $\|x^1\|_{\ell^\infty} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_{n,w_n^1}\|_H = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^\infty} > 0$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|^2_{\ell^2} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{-w_n^1} x_n\|^2_{\ell^2} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x^1 + (T_{-w_n^1} x_n - x^1)\|^2_{\ell^2} \\
&= \|x^1\|^2_{\ell^2} + 2 \underbrace{\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle x^1, T_{-w_n^1} x_n - x^1 \rangle^2_{\ell^2}}_{=0} + \lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{-w_n^1} x_n - x^1\|^2_{\ell^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\|x_n - T_{w_n^1} x^1\right\|_{\ell^\infty} = 0$ we set $m = 1$, concluding the proof. Otherwise we apply the above argument to the sequence $\{x_n^{(2)}\}$, where $x_n^{(2)} = x_n - T_{w_n^1} x^1$ and proceed iteratively; it remains to show that we can choose $m \geq 2$ such that (A.4) is satisfied.

Suppose that for each $m \geq 3$ there exist vectors $x^1, \dots, x^m \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^s, H)$ and sequences $\{w_n^1\}, \dots, \{w_n^m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ which satisfy (A.1)–(A.3) and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right\|_{\ell^\infty} > \varepsilon$$

for $m' = 2, \dots, m$. Choosing $m > 1 + \varepsilon^{-2} \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^2}^2$, we obtain the contradiction

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^2}^2 > \varepsilon^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \|x^\ell\|_{\ell^2}^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 + \sum_{\ell=3}^{m} \|x^\ell\|_{\ell^\infty}^2 > (m-1)\varepsilon^2 > \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\|_{\ell^2}^2. \qquad \square$$

Lemma A.2. *The sequences $\{w_n^1\}, \dots, \{w_n^m\}$ satisfy*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |w_n^{m''} - w_n^{m'}| \to \infty, \qquad 1 \leq m'' < m' \leq m$$

so that in particular

$$T_{-w_n^{m'}} x_n \rightharpoonup x^{m'}, \qquad m' = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. Suppose the result does not hold and select the smallest $m' \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ such that $|w_n^{m''} - w_n^{m'}| \not\to \infty$ for some $m'' \in \{1, \dots, m'-1\}$; by a judicious choice of subsequences we can arrange that $w_n^{m'} - w_n^{m''}$ is equal to a constant $j \in \mathbb{Z}^s$.

On the one hand $\lim_{n\to\infty} |w_n^\ell - w_n^{m''}| = \infty$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, m''-1$, so that

$$T_{-w_n^{m''}} x_n \rightharpoonup x^{m''} \tag{A.6}$$

(see (A.1)), while on the other hand

$$T_{-w_n^{m'}} \left(x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'-1} T_{w_n^\ell} x^\ell \right) \rightharpoonup x^{m'},$$

so that

$$T_{-w_n^{m''}-j} x_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m'-1} T_{-w_n^{m''}-j+w_n^\ell} x^\ell \rightharpoonup x^{m'}$$

and hence

$$T_{-w_n^{m''}} x_n - x^{m''} \rightharpoonup T_j x^{m'},$$

which contradicts (A.6) because $x^{m'} \neq 0$. $\square$

Acknowledgements. M. D. Groves would like to thank Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation for funding a visiting professorship at Lund University during which this paper was prepared. E. Wahlén was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant no. 621-2012-3753).

References

[1] ABLOWITZ, M. J. & SEGUR, H. 1979 On the evolution of packets of water waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **92**, 691–715.

[2] BENCI, V. & CERAMI, G. 1987 Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **99**, 283–300.

[3] BENJAMIN, T. B. & OLVER, P. J. 1982 Hamiltonian structure, symmetries and conservation laws for water waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **125**, 137–185.

[4] BUFFONI, B., GROVES, M. D., SUN, S. M. & WAHLÉN, E. 2013 Existence and conditional energetic stability of three-dimensional fully localised solitary gravity-capillary water waves. *J. Diff. Eqns.* **254**, 1006–1096.

[5] CIPOLATTI, R. 1992 On the existence of standing waves for a Davey-Stewartson system. *Commun. Part. Diff. Eqns.* **17**, 967–988.

[6] CRAIG, W. 1991 Water waves, Hamiltonian systems and Cauchy integrals. In *Microlocal Analysis and Nonlinear Waves* (eds. Beals, M., Melrose, R. B. & Rauch, J.), pages 37–45. New York: Springer-Verlag.

[7] DIAS, F. & KHARIF, C. 1999 Nonlinear gravity and capillary-gravity waves. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **31**, 301–346.

[8] DJORDJEVIC, V. D. & REDEKOPP, L. G. 1977 On two-dimensional packets of capillary-gravity waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* **79**, 703–714.

[9] EKELAND, I. 1974 On the variational principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47**, 324–353.

[10] GROVES, M. D. & SUN, S.-M. 2008 Fully localised solitary-wave solutions of the three-dimensional gravity-capillary water-wave problem. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **188**, 1–91.

[11] HÖRMANDER, L. 1997 *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

[12] KADOMTSEV, B. B. & PETVIASHVILI, V. I. 1970 On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersing media. *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **15**, 539–541.

[13] LIONS, P. L. 1984 The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 1. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1**, 109–145.

[14] LIONS, P. L. 1984 The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 2. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **1**, 223–283.

[15] PAPANICOLAOU, G. C., SULEM, C., SULEM, P. L. & WANG, X. P. 1994 The focusing singularity of the Davey-Stewartson equations for gravity-capillary surface waves. *Physica D* **72**, 61–86.

[16] PARAU, E. I., VANDEN-BROECK, J.-M. & COOKER, M. J. 2005 Three-dimensional gravity-capillary solitary waves in water of finite depth and related problems. *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 122101.

[17] WILLEM, M. 1996 *Minimax Theorems*. Boston: Birkhäuser.